# ARBITRATION BEFORE JAMS

JENNER & BLOCK LLP,	)
Claiman	t, )
-vs-	) No. 1310019934
PARALLEL NETWORKS, LLC	and )
EPICREALM LICENSING LP,	)
Respondent	s. )

The deposition of SUSAN COHEN LEVY, called as a witness for examination, taken before VICTORIA C. CHRISTIANSEN, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of Illinois, C.S.R. No. 84-3192, at 353 North Clark Street, Chicago, Illinois, on the 30th of May, A.D. 2012, at 11:05 a.m.



		2
1	PRESENT:	
2	JENNER & BLOCK LLP, (353 North Clark Street,	
3	Chicago, Illinois 60654-3456, 312-222-9350), by:	
4	MR. JOEL T. PELZ, jpelz@jenner.com, and	
5	MR. NORMAN M. HIRSCH, nhirsch@jenner.com,	
6	appeared on behalf of the Claimant;	
7	MUNCK WILSON MANDALA, (12770 Coit Road, Suite 600,	
8	Dallas, Texas 75251, 972-628-3600), by:	
9	MR. JAMIL N. ALIBHAI, jalibhai@munckwilson.com, and	
10	MS. JANE ANN R. NEISWENDER, jneiswender@munckwilson.com,	
11	appeared on behalf of the Respondents.	
12		
13		
14		
15   16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	REPORTED BY: VICTORIA C. CHRISTIANSEN, Illinois CSR No. 84-3192.	



		٥
1	(WHEREUPON, the witness was duly	
2	sworn.)	
3	SUSAN COHEN LEVY,	
4	called as a witness herein, having been first duly	
5	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	
6	EXAMINATION	
7	BY MR. ALIBHAI:	
8	Q. Could you please state your full name	
9	for the record.	
10	A. Susan Cohen Levy.	
11	Q. Ms. Levy, when did you become managing	
12	partner at Jenner & Block?	
13	A. July 2008.	
14	Q. When did you first become aware that	
15	Jenner & Block was representing Parallel Networks?	
16	A. I don't recall.	
17	Q. Did you have	
18	A. My guess is before that, but I'm not	
19	sure.	
20	Q. Did you have any involvement in	
21	determining whether the firm should take on the	
22	representation of Parallel Networks?	
23	A. No.	
24	Q. Did you have any involvement in	



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

negotiating	and/or	drafting	а	contingent	fee
agreement -	_				
7\	No				

- Α.
- -- with Parallel Networks? Ο.
- Α. No.
- Q. Prior to becoming managing partner, did you have any role or responsibility with respect to the cases?
  - With respect to what? Α.
  - The Parallel Networks cases. Ο.
  - Α. No.
- You're aware that there were two cases, Ο. correct?
- I'm aware that there was the Oracle case Α. and the QuinStreet case, right?
  - 0. Right.
  - Α. Okay.
- Q. After you became the managing partner at the firm, did you have some responsibilities with respect to those cases?
- Α. Not really. My responsibilities as managing partner including billing and collections, so I had no -- I was not the responsible attorney or I wasn't involved in those cases, but I was



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

involved in the issue over the failure to pay expenses and other issues relating to billing and collections.

- Q. Aside from those administrative responsibilities regarding billing and collection, did you have any other responsibilities with respect to those cases?
  - A. No.
- Q. And is that how you sort of became involved in overseeing or looking at those cases, because of your role as managing partner?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. I'm sorry. July what did you say?
  - A. July '08.
- Q. In connection with becoming managing partner, did you get some type of report that showed what the status of billing and collection of certain cases was at the firm?
- A. I get that reg- -- I get financial reports regularly.
  - Q. But as managing partner?
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. What was your role in the firm before you became managing partner?



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I was a partner since 1990, I believe, and I was on the management and policy committees beforehand.
  - Q. Management and policy committees?
- A. Management committee and policy committee.
- Q. And after you became managing partner, you stayed on the management committee and the policy committee?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And did you join any other committees?
- A. Well, I'm involved in all the committees. All the committees -- you know, I'm basically the chief executive officer of the firm, so all the committees of the firm basically are there reporting to the management committee and the managing partner.
- Q. And Mr. Valukas was the chairman of the firm when you became managing partner?
  - A. He is the chairman, yes. Still is.
  - Q. And he was the chairman --
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. -- when you became managing partner?
  - A. Yes.



Q. With respect to the Parallel Networks
cases, did you ask Terri Mascherin to become
involved in the billing and collection issues?
A. At some point I had asked her to look at
the case, help me understand what was going on.
We had at the time a big receivable in
terms of expenses and we also had expended you

We had at the time a big receivable in terms of expenses and we also had expended, you know -- it was a major contingent fee case in the firm, too, so I was looking at that.

- Q. In terms of the amount of attorney time that had been invested in the case?
  - A. Yes, yes, yes, so...
- Q. When I spoke to Ms. Mascherin yesterday, she didn't remember whether it was you or Mr. Valukas that had asked her to get involved, but you seem to think that it was you?
- A. Well, it was probably me, and I saw some memos with Tony's name, so maybe me in conjunction with Tony.
- Q. And why was it that you chose Ms. Mascherin for this role?
- A. One is because she is a very skilled litigator with patent experience, and I, you know, would rely on her judgment in doing that.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Any other reason	
---------------------	--

- A. And I believe we also had Don Harris look at it, too, who's another very skilled litigator.
- Q. Any other reason that you had Ms. Mascherin look at the case?
  - A. Not really.
- Q. And with respect to Ms. Mascherin looking at the case, what was it specifically that you wanted her to do?
- A. Help advise me as to, you know, one, what's going on in the case and advise us -- advise me, you know, what we should do going forward.
  - Q. And did she do that?
- 15 A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you recall that she provided you with a memorandum regarding the status of the case?
  - A. I believe she provided me more than one memoranda.
  - Q. Okay. This was previously marked as Exhibit 6.
    - A. Okay.
    - Q. Do you recognize that document?
    - A. Yes.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Q. And if you need time to look at it,
obviously feel free to do so, but my question is:
Is this one of the memoranda that you recall
Ms. Mascherin preparing for you regarding the
epicRealm vs. Oracle case?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And based upon the memorandum that Ms. Mascherin had prepared and the information that she provided you, was it your opinion that the case should be attempted to be settled?
- A. Well, I remember reviewing the memo and generally agreeing with Terri's recommendations that are set forth in the memo.
- Q. Let me show you what was previously marked as Exhibit 7.

Is that an e-mail from you to Ms. Mascherin?

- A. Yes, among others.
- Q. And was it your instruction that the mediation be reconvened with the goal of achieving a settlement in the amount of \$30 million or more?
- A. Let's see. "We agree with the recommendations in the memo. Please reconvene mediation in epicRealm with the goal of achieving a



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

settlement before trial and, if possible, before the pretrial conference in an amount of 30 million or more."

Yes, and this was Terri's recommendation in Paragraph 1 of her memo.

- Q. And you're agreeing with that recommendation?
  - A. Yes, yes.
- Q. Why did you think that that was a good recommendation?
- A. For the reasons stated in her memo and -- it's a lengthy memo, and she sets forth her reasons why she made this recommendation.
- Q. And you thought that made sense for the firm?
- A. I think this made sense for our client, and based on the facts of the case and the recommendations here, it made sense. It sounded like it made sense to me.

Obviously for a settlement, you need two parties to reach agreement. I don't think that happened in October.

Q. Well, there had already been a mediation at the time that you received that memo, right?



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Oh, I don't recall.
- Q. Well, you told her to reconvene mediation.
  - A. Right.
  - Q. Do you see that?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And the previous mediation had obviously been unsuccessful.
- A. You know, I probably took the same language that Terri said, "we should reconvene mediation," so I don't remember what happened in the other mediation.
- Q. Did you have a concern in October 2008 that the firm was not going to recoup its investment in the firm in terms of attorney time?
- A. No. I was concerned in October of 2008 about the \$500,000 receivable that the client had not paid in breach of its agreement with us. That was my major concern in October of 2008 and later.
- Q. And what was your recommendation with respect to how to handle that issue?
- A. Well, I think I told the lawyers to get it paid, and, you know, we were watching that receivable, and that was a huge receivable for a



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

law firm -- we're not a bank -- and that's what I
was focused on at the time.

- Q. Did you consider whether terminating the relationship made sense in October 2008?
- A. I don't know that we discussed termination in October 2008. I remember -- I think we were focused on getting the receivable paid.
- Q. Take a look at the last page of Ms. Mascherin's memorandum.

(WHEREUPON, there was a short interruption.)

## BY MR. ALIBHAI:

- Q. On Page 7, do you see that paragraph?
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Does that refresh your recollection that one of the things that Ms. Mascherin was recommending was whether the contingent fee committee should re-examine and determine whether it's in the firm's strategic and financial interests to continue its engagement with epicRealm?
- A. Well, you read part of it. I don't know that she's talking about termination right here because she's talking about pursuing additional



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

lawsuits. "EpicRealm is currently requesting that we initiate at least two additional lawsuits," so I don't know. She doesn't use the word "termination." I don't recall discussing that in October.

- Q. Do you recall discussing that later?
- A. Yes.
- Q. You just don't remember discussing it --
- A. I don't know that there were any discussions in October.
- Q. Did you discuss the memorandum with anyone else after you received it and before you sent this e-mail that's Exhibit 7?
- A. You know, I may have, and I may have discussed it with Tony Valukas. You know, I started the sentence, "We agree," so maybe I did.
- Q. You don't remember any specific discussion?
  - A. I don't remember.
- Q. When did the firm form a contingent fee committee?
- A. You know, my guess is -- and I'm guessing it's like mid 2000s. 2005, 2006, something like that.



1	Q. And what's the role of the contingent
2	fee committee?
3	A. It was to help management monitor
4	contingent fee cases and it yeah.
5	Q. Does it have procedures regarding the
6	intake of contingent fee cases, as well?
7	A. You know what? You are using the
8	present. There is no longer a contingent fee
9	committee anymore.
10	Q. Okay. When did the contingent fee
11	committee go away?
12	A. Sometime over the last couple years, so
13	there's no longer one.
14	Q. Is that role handled by some other
15	committee?
16	A. It's really now handled by the
17	management committee.
18	Q. Okay. So while the contingent fee
19	committee existed, they sort of had this
20	specialized look at the contingent fee cases role?
21	A. Right, to help management, you know,
22	monitor those cases.
23	Q. So in this time frame, 2007, 2008 when
24	the contingent fee committee did exist, was it



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

involved in the process of deciding whether the firm should take on a contingent fee case?

- A. I don't think so.
- Q. It was more so from an oversight --
- A. Right, right.
- Q. And so currently contingent fee cases that the firm has, the management committee oversees those cases just the way it would with any other case?
- A. No, it's different. Actually, I monitor all the contingent fee cases now. That's part of my responsibility.

So I do that, and the management committee now is involved in approving the firm taking on contingency fee cases, but I along with other management and the policy committee monitor these cases, too.

- Q. And in 2007 was there anyone at the firm that had to approve taking on a contingent fee case?
- A. You know, I don't recall. I don't recall.
- Q. And do you recall that in 2008, Mr. Bricker was the head of the contingent fee



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

committee?

- A. Yes, yes.
- Q. What do you recall happening with respect to that mediation that you were talking about being reconvened in the Parallel case?
- A. I don't recall. I -- well, I recall accepting Terri's recommendation and relying on Terri.
- Q. Sure. And do you recall that -- whether they tried to set up a mediation?
  - A. No.
- Q. You don't remember any discussions about that?
  - A. No.
- Q. Do you recall discussions about whether to take on additional cases that Parallel Networks was interested in pursuing?
  - A. No.
- Q. Do you recall discussions about Microsoft being brought into one of the cases involving Parallel, specifically the QuinStreet case?
- A. I saw some reference to that in some e-mails I received, but I don't recall anything.



1	Q. And do you recall that Microsoft filed a
2	declaratory judgment complaint against Parallel
3	Networks in that case?
4	A. I believe that's right.
5	Q. Did you have any involvement
6	A. No.
7	Q in discussions about that?
8	A. No.
9	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
10	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 60,
11	for identification.)
12	BY MR. ALIBHAI:
13	Q. Ms. Mascherin, you're a litigator,
14	right?
15	A. Levy.
16	Q. Sorry. Ms. Levy. I'm reading this
17	e-mail.
18	A. That's okay.
19	Q. Ms. Levy, you're a litigator, right?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And you've taken depositions?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And you understand the ground rules of
24	depositions?



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Δ	Yes
죠.	160

Q. Whenever I hand you a document, of course feel free to read it and familiarize yourself with it. Take as much time as you need.

MR. PELZ: And if you want to do what's called a full focus -- in this litigation, we call it a full focus -- take about ten minutes and look at every single word in a lengthy document.

### BY MR. ALIBHAI:

Q. So taking a look at <u>Exhibit 60</u>, which is an e-mail from Ms. Mascherin to you, among others, do you remember that e-mail?

(WHEREUPON, there was a short interruption.)

#### BY THE WITNESS:

A. I remember receiving this e-mail, yes. BY MR. ALIBHAI:

- Q. Do you recall that around December 4, Judge Robinson, who was the judge presiding over the Parallel case, had entered a summary judgment finding non-infringement?
- A. I don't remember it, but this refreshes my recollection.
  - Q. And one of the things that Ms. Mascherin



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

is discussing in here specifically is reconsideration of the summary judgment ruling.

- A. Right, right.
- Q. She then says, "Once we know what happens tomorrow, we'll have a decision to make regarding how much longer Jenner & Block will continue the representation."
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Is that the first time that the firm began discussing whether to continue the representation?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Why was there a discussion about how much longer Jenner & Block would continue the representation?
- A. I don't recall why Terri said that there. As I said, my biggest concern at this time was their failure to pay expenses in violation of their agreement, so I know I was focused on that.
- Q. Were you focused on that to the point that that was going to be a grounds for termination?



- A. Well, I don't know that -- I don't know what my thought process was on December 4, but that was a concern of mine and -- you know, it was a concern during this time.
- Q. Did you have a discussion with Ms. Mascherin about how much longer Jenner & Block was going to continue the representation after December 4?
  - A. How much longer we were --
- Q. She says here, "how much longer Jenner & Block will continue the representation," right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Around December 4, did you have a discussion with her about that issue?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. You don't remember discussing that subject at that time frame?
- A. Well, I think, you know, we were -- we were focused on this case and -- you know, principally because we had, one, expended a lot of money, and then we were -- they never paid our expenses and we were banking them for \$500,000, and then we -- this shows that around this time we lost summary judgment, so -- which would mean we had to,



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

you know, spend more and more money, so it was a -this is why I brought Terri in, to really focus on
it and try to see, you know, what we can do.

- Q. And what were the options about what you could do?
- A. I don't know. You know, our options were whatever was available within our ethical obligations.
  - Q. Which ethical obligations?
- A. Our ethical obligations as lawyers and Code of Professional Responsibility and all of that.
- Q. Was there some specific ethical obligation that the firm was concerned about?
- A. We're concerned with all of our ethical obligations.
  - Q. Every day, right?
  - A. Absolutely.
  - Q. In every case?
  - A. Absolutely.
- Q. But was there something specific in this case that you were concerned about?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Do you recall that after the summary



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

judgment ruling, there was a possibility that the court was going to hold a trial on invalidity issues in January?

- A. I recall that, yes.
- Q. And that there was a discussion about whether the summary judgment could become final --
  - A. Right.
  - Q. -- and appealable immediately?
  - A. Yes, I recall that.
- Q. And did you have any involvement in discussions about which way to go in terms of going to trial or going the appellate route?
- A. I don't think I really gave a meaningful opinion on that. I really relied on the lawyers who were involved in the case to make that decision, and I remember I agreed with it, whatever it was.
- Q. Who were the lawyers who were working on the case besides Ms. Mascherin that you were talking to about the case?
- A. I was talking mostly with Terri. Maybe Harry, but mostly with Terri.

(WHEREUPON, a certain document was marked Deposition Exhibit No. 61,



1	for identification.)	
2	BY MR. ALIBHAI:	
3	Q. I'm handing you what's been marked as	
4	Exhibit 61.	
5	(WHEREUPON, there was a short	
6	interruption.)	
7	BY THE WITNESS:	
8	A. Is there a question?	
9	BY MR. ALIBHAI:	
10	Q. I was giving you an opportunity to look	
11	at it.	
12	A. Go ahead.	
13	Q. This seems to be an update from	
14	Ms. Mascherin regarding the status of the cases,	
15	right?	
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. And I want to talk about specific parts	
18	of it.	
19	A. Okay.	
20	Q. There seems to be a random page in here,	
21	but that's how it was produced, so the page that	
22	says, "Page 2 of 4," of the e-mail itself.	
23	A. Okay. Got it.	
24	Q. And there's a discussion about	



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

possibility of settlement.

- A. Yes.
- Q. And generally Oracle's counsel after having received summary judgment of non-infringement is saying that it may be willing to discuss a seven-figure settlement.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And that was different than the 30 million plus settlement that Ms. Mascherin was discussing in October and that you were recommending that they reconvene the mediation and try to get?
- A. Well, I don't know. I mean, we were recommending -- we were recommending back in October that we try to settle it for 30 million. The other side now after summary judgment says, "We'll talk about seven figures," but I don't know where it would end up.
- Q. Did you have any discussion about whether to pursue a settlement discussion with Oracle in the seven-figure range?
  - A. I don't recall that.
  - Q. On the bottom of Page 3, the header



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

says, "QuinStreet Background."

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And then the last bullet on the bottom of the page says, "We have told the client that we wish to terminate our engagement on this case."

Do you see that?

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. You have to answer yes or no.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And did you have a discussion prior to the time of this e-mail, which is December 12, with Ms. Mascherin about the firm terminating its engagement on the QuinStreet case?
  - A. You know, I don't recall.
  - O. You don't remember that conversation?
- A. I don't remember it. You know, if she is -- "We have told the client that we wish to terminate our engagement on this case." My supposition is that we had a discussion on this, but I don't recall the conversation.
- Q. Did you have any discussion around this time about terminating the engagement with respect to the Oracle case?
  - A. I may have, but I don't -- I don't



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

recall without seeing a memo.

- Q. And then if you flip to the first page of the e-mail chain, the second e-mail in that chain is from you to Ms. Mascherin, right?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And you were looking for a recommendation?
  - A. Absolutely.
- Q. And she said she would recommend something the following week?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall on December 16 having a phone call with Ms. Mascherin and others regarding settlement discussions in the Oracle case?
  - A. Not offhand.

(WHEREUPON, a certain document was marked Deposition <u>Exhibit No. 62</u>, for identification.)

#### BY MR. ALIBHAI:

Q. Let me show you what's been marked as

Exhibit 62 and see if that refreshes your

recollection that you had this call with

Ms. Mascherin and others regarding potential

settlement of the Parallel Networks case.



(WHEREUPON, there was a short interruption.)

#### BY THE WITNESS:

- A. Okay. What was your question?
  BY MR. ALIBHAI:
  - Q. Do you remember having that call?
- A. No, I don't -- I mean, I obviously -- I remember getting this e-mail, and then there's a call -- a meeting in my conference room, but I don't remember the conversation.

(WHEREUPON, a certain document was marked Deposition <u>Exhibit No. 63</u>, for identification.)

# BY MR. ALIBHAI:

Q. This is Exhibit 63, and you can look at as much of it as you want, but the second e-mail in the chain is from you to Ms. Mascherin, and you say, "In my view, this warrants a personal phone call with the client to discuss this expense issue as well as the other issues we discussed yesterday," and the only reason I'm showing it to you is to see if that jogs your memory as to the other issues that you "discussed yesterday" that are referenced in the previous exhibit, that phone



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

call that we were talking about of December 16.

A. Yeah, I -- this looks like we're discussing their failure to pay us the \$500,000 owed. The client told us that he's trying to raise the money. Terri writes to me saying that he gave us assurances that he would pay by yearend, but the message didn't say that, and we wanted confirmation in writing.

So I think that's what this was about.

- Q. That's what the e-mail's about certainly.
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. I was trying to see if this e-mail jogged your memory as to the issues that you "discussed yesterday" on that call that we were referencing on the 16th.
  - A. No, I don't remember.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. And I don't know if it's anything other than expenses, getting assurances in writing, confirmation that we're going to get paid by the end of the year. That's what my focus was on.
- Q. Did you have any involvement in this time frame with respect to the legal strategy or



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the motion practice in the case itself?

- A. No.
- Q. You're still only working on it in terms of the billing, collection and administration issues?
- A. Right, right. And, you know, Terri was there, and Terri gave me recommendations on that, and I -- as you can see, I tended to follow her recommendations, but I wasn't involved in the day-to-day management of the case.
- Q. And with respect to that issue about the appeal or the trial in January, you're not involved in that part of that decision-making process?
  - A. What was the decision?
- Q. I thought you recalled that there was the option to either try the case in January 2009 regarding invalidity or there was an attempt to make the summary judgment a final judgment and make it immediately appealable.
- A. Right, but I -- I thought the client and Oracle agreed on that, and that's the decision that was made.
  - Q. As to which way to proceed?
- A. Yeah.



1	Q. Wasn't Jenner & Block giving the client
2	advice?
3	A. You know, I don't recall exactly who
4	said what, who made that I don't know who made
5	that decision, but I I thought it was the client
6	who made the decision and Oracle, so
7	Q. You were not involved in that
8	decision-making process?
9	A. Not no, no.
10	Q. Do you recall that there were cases
11	pending in Texas that were settling and that funds
12	from those settlements were going to be used to pay
13	the outstanding expenses owed to Jenner & Block?
14	A. I recall seeing memos saying that the
15	client was you know, was going to try to use
16	funds from cases that might settle to pay us the
17	money that it owed he owed, it owed.
18	Q. You recall that from back then or just
19	recently?
20	A. I recall recently looking at the memos.
21	Q. This was previously marked Exhibit 11.
22	Is that the type of memo that you're
23	referring to



A.

Yes.

24

1	Q about the settlement of other cases
2	being used to pay expenses?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And again feel free to take a look at
5	it, but I want to talk to you about the second
6	paragraph under the paragraph numbered 1. It
7	begins, "Once that agreement's done." That
8	paragraph.
9	(WHEREUPON, there was a short
10	interruption.)
11	BY THE WITNESS:
12	A. Okay.
13	BY MR. ALIBHAI:
14	Q. Do you recall a discussion about based
15	on what the client decided to do regarding
16	settlement or prosecuting an appeal of the court's
17	summary judgment ruling that the firm was going to
18	have to decide whether to terminate the engagement
19	with the client?
20	A. I don't have any recollection outside of
21	this memo.
22	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
23	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 64,
24	for identification.)



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

### BY MR. ALIBHAI:

Q. Ms. Levy, this is Exhibit 64.

Is this another update from

Ms. Mascherin about the status of the payment of expenses from settlement agreements from other cases?

(WHEREUPON, there was a short interruption.)

#### BY THE WITNESS:

A. What's your question? I'm sorry.

## BY MR. ALIBHAI:

- Q. Is that another update from

  Ms. Mascherin regarding the payment of expenses

  from settlements of other cases?
- A. Well, this is an update from Terri to me about the status of the promises that they're going to finally pay us the money that -- the over half a million dollars that was in arrears and the promises that they were making that they would pay us.
  - Q. Based on settlements in other cases?
- A. I don't -- well, that's what -- that's what they told her, apparently. I have no firsthand knowledge of where the money came from or



	33
1	was supposed to come from.
2	Q. Did you have discussions with
3	Ms. Mascherin about her discussion with the client?
4	A. Not that I recall.
5	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
6	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 65,
7	for identification.)
8	BY MR. ALIBHAI:
9	Q. This is <u>Exhibit 65</u> . Take a look first
10	at the e-mail chain on the bottom and then going
11	up.
12	(WHEREUPON, there was a short
13	interruption.)
14	BY THE WITNESS:
15	A. Okay.
16	BY MR. ALIBHAI:
17	Q. Ms. Mascherin's e-mail discusses
18	entering into a stipulation to make the summary
19	judgment final and appealable, right?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And you seem to have recalled that there
22	was an agreement or stipulation necessary? That's
23	what you seemed to remember before, right?



Α.

Right.

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And so at that point Parallel Networks could take an appeal from that summary judgment ruling?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And here Ms. Mascherin says, this is Monday, December 22, "Once the orders are entered, the client will have the right to appeal, and the firm needs to decide whether to terminate the representation now or whether to agree to handle the appeal."
  - A. I see that.
- Q. Did you have a discussion with Ms. Mascherin about whether the firm was going to terminate the representation or agree to handle the appeal around this time?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Did you have a discussion with anyone else in the firm about that subject?
  - A. I don't -- I don't recall.
- Q. And then you in response to her e-mail send -- it looks like you reply to everyone on the e-mail chain --
  - A. Right.
  - Q. -- and ask, "What happens to the motion



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

to reconsider?"

- A. Right.
- Q. What do you remember about the motion to reconsider?
- A. You know, here I was saying I thought we were filing a motion to reconsider, and so what happened to that? And I don't recall whether we did or not.
- Q. And what's your recollection about the issue that you raise about a settlement meeting?
  - A. I was just wondering if there are any.
- Q. And did you receive a response about what was going on with the settlement discussions?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Was there a discussion about whether it made sense for the client to appeal the summary judgment ruling?
- A. I don't -- I'm sorry. Were there discussions on that?
- Q. Were you involved in any discussions on that?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. You looked at the motion for reconsideration, right?



1	A. Well, actually, let me take that back.
2	There were discussions about whether
3	Jenner would handle the appeal, and I remember
4	participating in those discussions.
5	Q. Around this time frame?
6	A. Whenever. I think it was a little bit
7	later.
8	Q. Okay. Do you remember looking at the
9	motion to reconsider?
10	A. Not particularly.
11	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
12	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 66,
13	for identification.)
14	BY MR. ALIBHAI:
15	Q. This is <u>Exhibit 66</u> . It's an e-mail from
16	you to Ms. Mascherin, Mr. Smith, Mr. Goldman on
17	December 23.
18	Does this refresh your recollection that
19	you were reviewing the motion to reconsider?
20	A. Absolutely. If I said I read it, I read
21	it.
22	Q. Sure. And does it help you remember
23	anything about it?
24	A. No.



1	Q. Did you have a discussion with any of
2	these people that day?
3	A. I may have. I may have.
4	Q. Nothing that you remember?
5	A. My general sense is that our lawyers
6	thought we had a good chance on appeal.
7	Q. What was your sense based upon reviewing
8	the motion to reconsider?
9	A. I relied on my lawyers.
10	Q. And Mr. Smith and Mr. Goldman were
11	appellate counsel?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. I'm sorry if I asked you this.
14	Did I ask you and did you tell me
15	whether you remember having a conversation with any
16	of them that day?
17	A. I don't remember the conversation.
18	Q. At this point does Jenner & Block begin
19	having discussions internally about whether to
20	handle this appeal?
21	A. I don't recall whether it was December
22	or January. I believe it was January. I don't
23	know how early, but obviously if Paul and Mark are

involved, they're appellate counsel, so...



24

1	Q. Do you recall that they prepared a
2	budget regarding the appeal to the federal circuit?
3	A. Prob yes, I do recall that.
4	Q. Do you recall that on December 24, the
5	expenses were outstanding expenses were paid by
6	Parallel Networks?
7	A. I recall that they were paid in late
8	December. I don't know if all the outstanding
9	expenses were, but I believe we got money from
10	them, around a half million dollars or so.
11	Q. Around a half million dollars?
12	A. I'm not sure exactly the number and I
13	don't know if that was all the expenses, but we did
14	get some money.
15	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
16	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 67,
17	for identification.)
18	BY MR. ALIBHAI:
19	Q. <u>Exhibit 67</u> is an e-mail from
20	Mr. Margolis to you and Ms. Mascherin. Let me know
21	when you've had a chance to review it.
22	(WHEREUPON, there was a short
23	interruption.)
24	BY THE WITNESS:



1	A. Okay. I've read it.
2	BY MR. ALIBHAI:
3	Q. First of all, you see that Mr. Margolis
4	says that the client has now paid all of his
5	outstanding obligations to Jenner & Block? It's in
6	the first paragraph. Sorry.
7	A. I see that he said that.
8	Q. So is it your recollection that by
9	December 30, the outstanding amounts had been paid?
10	A. No. I mean, I'm not Paul's an
11	attorney, he's not in our finance department, so
12	unless I saw the finance the financial
13	documents, I can't say that all of the outstanding
14	obligations were paid.
15	Q. You don't know one way or the other
16	sitting here today?
17	A. Right, I don't.
18	Q. And who would have been in charge of the
19	finance department at that time? Was that
20	Mr. Bull?
21	A. Pat Bull is our chief financial officer,
22	Meredith Mendes is our chief operating officer, and
23	they both report to me.

You see in this e-mail Mr. Margolis is



24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

raising issues about what role Jenner & Block's going to have in these pending cases.

- A. He raises them from a conversation with Mr. Fokas.
- Q. Was the firm not discussing in the end of December 2008 what its role was going to be in the pending cases?
- A. Well, yeah, apparently we were having that discussion and we were having that discussion with Mr. Fokas.
- Q. And we already saw one document that talked about terminating the representation in the QuinStreet case, correct?
  - A. Which document are you referring to?
- Q. Ms. Mascherin's e-mail which is Exhibit 61.
  - A. I'm sorry?
  - Q. 61.
    - A. 61.
- MR. PELZ: It's going to be one of the pink ones.
- 22 THE WITNESS: All right.
- 23 BY THE WITNESS:
  - A. All right. What are you referring to?



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

### BY MR. ALIBHAI:

- Q. On the bottom of Page 3 of 4, the last bullet point, the firm had already told the client that it wished to terminate its engagement on the QuinStreet case, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And then there was an issue about whether or not Jenner & Block wanted to handle the appeal in the Oracle/Parallel Networks case?
  - A. I'm sorry. What's your question?
- Q. Was there a discussion around December 30, 2008 as to whether Jenner & Block wanted to handle the appeal in the Oracle vs. Parallel Networks case?
- A. Yeah. That was a question -- apparently from Paul's conversation with Mr. Fokas, that was a question.
- Q. And aside from Mr. Margolis's conversation with Mr. Fokas, was that something that the firm was discussing?
  - A. Yes.
  - O. And what was that discussion?
- A. You know, I -- as I recall, we thought the appeal was strong and we would handle it



provided they paid us a retainer, because we didn't want to go through what we did before, which was fronting all the costs in violation and in breach of our agreement.

- Q. And what type of retainer did the firm want?
- A. I think the parties thought -- as I recall, I thought we worked out a \$500,000 retainer, but then the client backed down on that.
- Q. In December 2008, the firm was seeking the \$500,000 retainer?
- A. No, no. I was talking about like a month later.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. I think at this time we were -- at this time, based on my December 23 memo where I read the motion to reconsider and we discussed our -- we evaluated our chances on appeal, we had our top appellate lawyers, Paul Smith and Marc Goldman, looking at this matter.

They evaluated the appeal, they thought it was strong and, you know, the issue for me as the managing partner was how were we going to get paid for this, and that's what I was thinking about



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in December and January.

- Q. Get paid to handle the appeal?
- A. Pay our costs, yes, yes.
- Q. Okay.
- A. And expenses.
- Q. And do you recall having a conversation with Ms. Mascherin and Mr. Roper about the issues in that e-mail on December 30, 2008 at 2:00 p.m.?
- A. No. On December -- I'm sorry. Which e-mail?
- Q. Exhibit 67 was an e-mail that was sent to you on December 30, 2008 at 8:00 in the morning.
  - A. 9:00 a.m., yeah.
  - Q. Right?
    - A. Yeah.
- Q. Do you remember having a conversation with Ms. Mascherin and others on December 30 regarding those issues?
  - A. No.
- Q. And all I have is a call-in number that says, "Let's plan on 2:00 p.m." That's what I'm looking at.
  - Does that remind you that there was a call that day?



1	А.	Are you looking at a different document?
2	Q.	Yeah. I'll show it to you.
3	A.	Okay.
4		(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
5		marked Deposition Exhibit No. 68,
6		for identification.)
7	BY MR. ALI	BHAI:
8	Q.	If you look at the e-mail chain on the
9	back page,	it's the e-mail we just looked at in
10	Exhibit 67	, right?
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	And then it sort of bleeds over your
13	e-mail cuts	s off on the first page and the second
14	page, but y	you write back is Nora your assistant?
15	A.	She was.
16	Q.	At this time?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	And so you were asking her to set up a
19	conference	call for the four of you before 2:30
20	p.m.?	
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	And then if you look at the very top of
23	the e-mail	chain, it's been scheduled for 2:00?
24	А.	Okay.



	10
1	Q. Do you see that?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. I'm sure the answer is no, but does that
4	remind you about the conversation at all?
5	A. No, but I'm sure we had it.
6	Q. You don't remember anything specific
7	A. No.
8	Q from it?
9	A. No.
10	MR. ALIBHAI: All right. Let's take a short
11	break.
12	(WHEREUPON, the deposition was
13	recessed from 12:06 to 12:17 p.m.)
14	BY MR. ALIBHAI:
15	Q. Do you recall having a discussion on
16	December 31, 2008 with Ms. Mascherin, Mr. Roper and
17	Mr. Smith regarding terminating the relationship
18	with Parallel Networks?
19	A. I don't recall, but if there's a memo
20	that Terri wrote on that, that may refresh my
21	memory.
22	Q. You don't remember anything about that
23	conversation?
24	A. Not offhand, and I don't know that there



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

was a	CO	nversatio	on, but	1İ	there	e was,	Terrı	had a	1
habit	of	writing	memos,	so	that	would	refres	sh my	
memor	у.								

Q. Take a look at -- oh, you don't have that.

This appears to be marked as Exhibit 1. Have you seen that before?

- A. Yes. This is our notice of termination.
- Q. And Mr. Margolis was authorized by the firm to send that?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And you're copied on it?
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Yes?
- 15 A. Yes.
  - Q. So sometime before that letter was sent on January 2, 2009, a decision was made by Jenner & Block to terminate the representation of Parallel Networks?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. Who made that decision?
- 22 A. I did.
  - Q. And did you have to consult with anyone to make that decision?



2.1

- A. I don't know whether I had to, but I talked -- I consulted with the members of the team. I discussed this with Terri Mascherin, I likely discussed it with Tony Valukas and potentially other members of the policy committee. I don't recall.
- Q. And do you remember any specific discussions at the end of December prior to January 2 as to why the firm was terminating the representation?
- A. Well, I recall that I relied on advice of Terri Mascherin, so it's probably in one of Terri's memos. I recall that we were terminating for breach of the contract for failure to pay expenses as one of the reasons; the second reason was the substantial expenses that we would have to incur in the future if we would continue with that representation; and then the third -- and then also, you know, other advice from Terri that were in her memos.
- Q. What substantial expenses in the future were you concerned about?
- A. Well, both the cases would take a long time to resolve and it would -- they would cause us



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to incur substantial expenses. I had no faith that this client would pay us our expenses as it was obligated to do under the agreement, and so on those grounds, we terminated.

And also I believe Terri advised us that under the agreement, we had a right to terminate and that we would get our expenses paid.

Q. Let me show you what will be marked as Exhibit 69.

(WHEREUPON, a certain document was marked Deposition <u>Exhibit No. 69</u>, for identification.)

### BY MR. ALIBHAI:

- Q. <u>Exhibit 69</u> is an e-mail from you to Ms. Mascherin, Mr. Smith, Mr. Goldman and Ms. Farrell and it's dated January 2, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And January 2, if you look back at Exhibit 1, is the day that Mr. Margolis sent the letter that the "Re" line says, "Termination of Representation."
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall why you were looking for Oracle's motion for summary judgment on



1	infringement and our response on January 2?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Were you out of town in California?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And so you wanted someone to send you a
6	copy of it?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Do you recall if anyone did?
9	A. They likely did.
10	Q. Do you recall reviewing it, or did this
11	issue, whatever you were concerned about, go away
12	after this termination letter went out?
13	A. I recall reviewing it, I think, but I'm
14	not sure.
15	Q. And do you remember why you were
16	reviewing it?
17	A. No, not it was in connection with
18	this whole matter, but I don't remember
19	specifically what I was looking at.
20	MR. ALIBHAI: This is <u>Exhibit 70</u> .
21	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
22	marked Deposition <u>Exhibit No. 70</u> ,
23	for identification.)
24	BY MR. ALIBHAI:



1	Q. Let me know when you've had a chance to
2	review that.
3	(WHEREUPON, there was a short
4	interruption.)
5	BY THE WITNESS:
6	A. Okay.
7	BY MR. ALIBHAI:
8	Q. Who is Mary Ann O'Donnell?
9	A. She works in finance.
10	Q. And what specifically is her role and
11	responsibility in finance?
12	A. Billing and collections.
13	Q. What is a special circumstance file?
14	A. That is when sometimes we put a file
15	in special circumstance when it's doubtful whether
16	it will be collected.
17	Q. And so Ms. O'Donnell was asking you as
18	to whether to move the Parallel Networks files
19	to special circumstance?
20	A. Yes. She wanted to know whether we
21	should move these three matters to special
22	circumstances.
23	Q. And at the top of the e-mail chain is
24	your response, correct?



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Right.
- Q. And you told her not to?
- A. Right.
- Q. And why did you tell her not to?
- A. Because we were in the process of withdrawing.
  - O. Based on the termination?
- A. Yes. The notice of -- we hadn't terminated yet, but we gave notice of termination.
- Q. Do you recall that after the January 2 letter was sent that Ms. Mascherin had discussions with Parallel Networks's corporate counsel regarding whether Jenner would stay in the cases?
- A. I believe that there were continuing conversations with the client regarding the potential for Jenner to stay in the cases or handle the appeal.
- Q. And do you remember anything about those discussions?
- A. They're in the memos, so -- I don't -- I remember there were continuing conversations.
- Q. You don't remember anything specific about them outside of what Ms. Mascherin told you in the memos?



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. Yeah. I mean, I do remember, as I think
I testified earlier, that we thought we had an
agreement to be paid our fees to handle the appeal
and we would get a \$500,000 retainer and we agreed
to that, and then the client backed down because he
told us he purportedly did not have the funds to
pay 300,000 of it.

- Q. And that was in connection with amending the contingent fee agreement?
  - A. Yeah. It would be a new agreement.
  - Q. To proceed on an hourly basis?
  - A. Yes.

MR. ALIBHAI: All right. They're bringing lunch in, so let's take a break.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

(WHEREUPON, the deposition was recessed from 12:28 to 12:44 p.m.)

# BY MR. ALIBHAI:

- Q. So after January 2, 2009, there were continuing discussions regarding on what terms

  Jenner would represent Parallel Networks, right?
- A. I recall after we sent the notice of termination there were continuing discussions with the client about, you know, whether -- whether and



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

on what terms, if at all, we would represent Parallel Networks.

- Q. And one of the issues that you seem to recall was that the firm was requiring a \$500,000 retainer? One of the issues that was being discussed.
- A. One of the issues that was being discussed was, you know, what we would -- what it would take for us to handle the appeal in that case, and I think there was discussion about a \$500,000 retainer --
  - O. And that's --
- A. -- and we would be paid our -- you know, we would be paid by the hour.
- Q. And that's what you were saying was a new fee agreement?
- A. Yeah. It would be different than the contingent -- my understanding it wasn't a contingent fee.
  - Q. It was an hourly fee agreement?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And the discussions broke down about the timing of the payment of the \$500,000?
  - A. Well, it was more than that. It was --



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- O. That was one of the issues?
- A. Well, it was more than that, yeah, because I thought we had an agreement that we would do this work for a \$500,000 fee, and then the client backed down and tried to renegotiate that.
- Q. When you say, "we had an agreement," what do you mean "we had an agreement"?
- A. That's what we were discussing. It wasn't a final agreement, but we were discussing that, and then the client backed down and wanted something different.
- Q. And there were other issues that the firm and Parallel Networks could not come to an agreement on, as well, right?
  - A. What other issues?
- Q. Was that the only issue that you're aware of?
  - A. I don't recall right now.
- Q. Let me show you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 21. It's an e-mail from Mr. Margolis to Ms. Mascherin.
  - A. What's your question?
- Q. Do you recall an issue coming up in the February 2009 time frame as to if the client could



come up with the \$500,000 that the firm was requesting whether the firm would represent Parallel Networks in the appeal?

- A. I recall that there -- I recall that there was discussion with the client where he agreed to put up a \$500,000 retainer and we would be paid our billable hours for the appeal. I recall that discussion.
- Q. And then as you recall it, that discussion fell apart because the client wasn't able to come up with the \$500,000, right?
- A. Well, I recall that it fell apart because the client told us it no longer would pay us \$500,000. It was -- I believe it was \$200,000 right away and \$300,000 in 30 days, and the client told us he would no longer do that.
- Q. Okay. After that, do you recall a discussion coming up as to whether -- if the client could get the \$500,000 and pay it upfront as to whether the firm would represent Parallel Networks in the appeal?
- A. My memory was we were discussing that.
  We were discussing that, 200,000 with 300,000, and
  we were discussing the firm handling the appeal for



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that, and then the client backed down -- backed out of that.

- Q. Do you see here Mr. Margolis is concerned about the client is calling and what to say to him if he says that he can come up with the \$500,000?
- A. I don't know that I ever saw this e-mail.
- Q. I'm not saying that you did, but did Ms. Mascherin ask you, "What if the client could come up with the \$500,000 now?"
  - A. I don't recall that discussion.
- Q. Okay. Was a decision made after the January 2 letter was sent to proceed and finalize the termination of the relationship between Jenner & Block and Parallel Networks?
  - A. I'm sorry. What was the question?
- Q. After the January 2 letter was sent, Exhibit 1 --
  - A. Yes.
- Q. -- was there a decision made to terminate the representation of Parallel Networks by Jenner & Block?
  - A. After this?



Q. Yes.

A. I recall that there were discussions, as I said, with the client for Jenner & Block to continue the representations, and ultimately the firm decided to terminate -- to withdraw from the representation consistent with all of its professional obligations and consistent with its agreement with Parallel Networks.

- Q. So after the January 2 letter was sent and after all the discussions regarding whether and on what terms Jenner & Block would represent Parallel Networks, the firm eventually decided to withdraw from all cases and no longer represent Parallel, right?
  - A. Yes.
  - O. Who made that decision?
  - A. I did.
    - Q. And why did you make that decision?
- A. Several reasons. First of all, the client was in breach of the agreement that we had with them, with the contingent fee agreement because we were -- the client failed to pay expenses.

Ultimately after many, many, many months



2.1

of Jenner & Block paying expenses, it ultimately paid some of them, but there was no guarantee that the client would pay expenses going forward, and that is totally inconsistent with our policy, it's in breach of the agreement, and ultimately we tried to work out an agreement where they paid us by the hour, and the client backed down on that, and so I had no comfort that this client would continue to abide by its obligations under the contingent fee agreement in the future, so I made the decision in consultation with members of the Parallel Networks team and from counsel and likely discussions with Tony Valukas and other members of the policy committee made the decision to terminate.

- Q. On January 2, 2009 when Exhibit 1 was sent, what was the then-existing breach of the agreement?
- A. It was a long-standing breach of the expenses -- failure to pay expenses on a timely basis.
  - Q. Any other breach?
  - A. That's the one I recall.
- Q. And in February when the decision was made not to represent Parallel Networks any



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

further, what was the breach then?

- A. It was the same.
- Q. You're not claiming that it was a breach for Parallel Networks not to agree to switch to an hourly fee agreement?
- A. No, no, but I had no faith that they would pay our expenses in the future on a timely basis.
- Q. But we were discussing that Jenner & Block and Parallel Networks were in negotiations to switch to an hourly free agreement.
  - A. Right, right, right.
  - Q. That was the client's choice, right?
  - A. Absolutely.
  - O. And the client chose not to do that?
- A. Absolutely.
  - O. After --
  - A. But we did have a -- you know, we were -- and you can show me documents to refresh my recollection, but I think we were originally told by either the client or somebody representing the client that he would agree to this \$200,000, \$300,000 upfront payment, and then he backed down.

So, you know, based on that along with



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the long history of failing to pay expenses, I had no confidence that he would, you know, follow the terms of our contingency fee agreement and pay our expenses on a timely basis.

- Q. Ms. Mascherin was the one handling the negotiations with Parallel Networks's counsel, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And is she the person most familiar with the negotiations of how the contingent fee was going to be amended?
  - A. Absolutely.
- Q. Were you involved in the decision to make a demand on Parallel Networks for the outstanding hourly fees owed to Jenner & Block?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Who made the decision to seek hourly fees for a contingent fee agreement?
- A. Who made the decision? Well, it's set forth in the contract between Parallel Networks and Jenner & Block, which I believe was drafted by Parallel Networks, that we're entitled to our hourly fees, if that's your question.

If your question is who made the



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

decision to seek reimbursement of our fees, that was my decision.

Q. Let me show you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 23.

Who's Mr. Hoover?

- A. Mr. Hoover is firm counsel.
- Q. When did Mr. Markowski leave the firm?
- A. I don't recall. I'm guessing two years ago, but that's a guess.
- Q. Okay. Did you see this letter before it went out?
  - A. I likely saw this or some version of it.
- Q. And where did you get the understanding that Jenner & Block was entitled to hourly fees?
- A. This would have been -- I believe this was in Terri Mascherin's memos to me, so it was Terri's analysis and possibly firm counsel, also.
  - Q. Which firm counsel?
  - A. All of our firm counsel.
- Q. And I was just asking if you remember somebody specifically saying anything about that.
  - A. What's that?
- Q. Were you referring to someone specific as firm counsel?



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Α.	No

Q. Has anyone shown you any analysis as to whether under Texas law the firm could seek hourly fees under a contingent fee agreement?

MR. PELZ: Object to the form of the question.
BY THE WITNESS:

A. I'm not going to disclose any attorney/client privileged information, but I don't recall seeing any of that.

## BY MR. ALIBHAI:

- Q. What attorneys have provided you legal advice regarding the issues about seeking the fees from Parallel Networks?
- A. Mr. Pelz, Mr. Hirsch, Mr. Hoover, possibly Mr. Heinz.
  - Q. Anyone else?
  - A. (Shaking head).
  - Q. No?
- A. No.
  - Q. Nobody outside Jenner & Block?
  - A. Not to me, no.
  - Q. Were you involved in discussions as to whether -- were you involved in any discussions as to whether to compromise the amount that Jenner &



Block was seeking?

- A. What are you referring to?
- Q. Are you aware that in 2011 --

MR. PELZ: At least initially you can answer that question yes or no, and then to the extent you were involved with -- if you're asking about information with respect to discussions that occurred between Jenner & Block and Parallel Networks, she can answer with respect to conversations that ultimately occurred between Jenner & Block and Parallel Networks, but I'm not going to have her testify about any internal discussions with respect to this issue.

But she can answer the question yes or no, at least the initial question, and then if you want to ask her about communications between Jenner & Block and Parallel Networks, I'll allow her to answer questions about those.

#### BY THE WITNESS:

- A. I don't recall. I think there were discussions with Parallel Networks about a number, but I'd need to look at the memo again to be sure. BY MR. ALIBHAI:
  - Q. Have you formed any opinions as to what



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

amount Jenner & Block is entitled to on a quantum meruit basis?

MR. PELZ: You can only answer that question if you can answer it without disclosing information that was provided to you with respect to counsel -- by counsel with respect to any of the work product with respect to this case.

THE WITNESS: Right, right.

### BY THE WITNESS:

A. I don't think I can answer that without disclosing work product.

### BY MR. ALIBHAI:

- Q. Jenner & Block filed a demand for arbitration, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you authorize that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Jenner & Block has sought amounts under a claim for breach of contract in that case, correct, in that arbitration?
- A. I'd have to look at it to make sure that I -- that I recall all the counts. There were several counts. I think it's breach of contract and unjust enrichment, but it could be something



1	else. I haven't looked at it for a long
2	Q. You reviewed it before it was filed?
3	
4	Q. And what amounts is Jenner & Block
5	seeking? Are you not the person that is involved
6	in that decision?
7	A. Well, it would my understanding is
8	we're seeking recovery of our fees.
9	Q. And there are alternative claims, as
10	well, right?
11	A. If you could show it to me and
12	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
13	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 71,
14	for identification.)
15	BY MR. ALIBHAI:
16	Q. Exhibit 71 is the demand for arbitration
17	filed by Jenner & Block.
18	(WHEREUPON, there was a short
19	interruption.)
20	BY THE WITNESS:
21	A. Yes, this is the claim that we filed.
22	BY MR. ALIBHAI:
23	
	Q. And beginning on Page 15, there are
24	multiple claims legal claims asserted.



- O. One of them is breach of contract?
- A. Yes.
- Q. One's quantum meruit?
- A. Breach of contract, quantum meruit, promissory estoppel and attorneys' fees.
- Q. What amounts is Jenner & Block seeking under each of those counts?
- A. Paragraph 70 says, "Jenner & Block is entitled to recover its reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees based on the Texas Civil Practice Code," and after that we request judgment in our favor against Parallel Networks and the entry of a binding arbitration order requiring Parallel Networks and epicRealm to compensate, reimburse and pay fees to Jenner & Block either at its standard hourly rate or an amount that is fair compensation in light of the benefits received by Parallel Networks and epicRealm.

We're also seeking all costs and expenses including reasonable attorneys' fees incurred by Jenner & Block in connection with enforcement of this agreement.

Q. What are the fees that Jenner & Block is



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

claiming as its standard hourly rates?

- A. I don't have it at the -- I don't have the final numbers. It may be in the -- somewhere like \$10 million. I don't have the final number.
  - O. Around \$10 million?
  - A. Something like that.
- Q. And what is the amount that Jenner & Block is seeking as fair compensation in light of the benefits received by Parallel Networks and epicRealm?
- MR. PELZ: Again, you can only answer if it doesn't disclose any information with respect to what you've gotten from counsel or any work product with respect to the case.

#### BY THE WITNESS:

A. Well, I'm looking here at Paragraph 39 of the complaint. I'm sorry.

(WHEREUPON, there was a short interruption.)

### BY THE WITNESS:

A. I'm sorry. What's your question?

MR. ALIBHAI: Can you read it back?

(WHEREUPON, the record was read by

the reporter as requested as



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

follows:

"Q. And what is the amount that Jenner & Block is seeking as fair compensation in light of the benefits received by Parallel Networks and epicRealm?")

## BY THE WITNESS:

A. Yeah, I don't know if I can answer that without disclosing attorney/client privileged information.

### BY MR. ALIBHAI:

- Q. You don't intend to show up at the arbitration and have an opinion as to the value of that amount?
- A. Well, my personal view is the value is \$10 million.
- Q. Okay. Outside of that, you've not conducted an analysis as to what the amount that is fair compensation in light of the benefits received by Parallel Networks equals?
- A. Well, they got the benefit of \$10 million of legal work from Jenner & Block, so my personal opinion is it's \$10 million.
  - Q. Have you conducted any analysis as to



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

how to come up with that amount?

- A. Yeah. That's what the invoices add up to.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. And the invoices were sent every month and were never challenged by Parallel Networks, and the hard work of the Jenner & Block lawyers is why Parallel Networks was able to reach this very favorable settlement.
- Q. Why do you think the settlement was favorable?
- A. Well, it was a -- you know, they made money. They brought in money through the -- and Jenner & Block was not compensated for that.
- Q. Are you aware that the firm was revising the invoices in 2010?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Do you know why that was done?
  - A. I don't know that it was done.
- Q. You're not aware that Mr. Margolis was working on revised fee statements?
  - A. No.
- Q. Other than the fair compensation in light of the benefits received by Parallel Networks



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

being measured by the hourly fees billed by Jenner & Block, do you have any other opinion as to the fair compensation in light of the benefits received by Parallel Networks?

- A. Yeah. I think also the -- I think the agreement states that we're entitled to our hourly fees if we do terminate, and that to me is an agreement of the parties of what quantum meruit means, so...
- Q. You're flipping through the agreement right now?
  - A. Yes, I'm flipping through it.
  - Q. Can you tell me where you're looking?
  - A. I'm looking at...

(WHEREUPON, there was a short interruption.)

#### BY THE WITNESS:

A. Paragraph 9(b) relates to termination by Jenner & Block, so I'm really relying on this agreement and Terri Mascherin's recommendations to me as to what this provided.

#### BY MR. ALIBHAI:

Q. And if you'll flip back to the agreement itself, looking at 9(b) where you were just



2.1

pointing to, that section begins, "If Jenner & Block determines at any time that it is not in its economic interest to continue the representation," and it continues on that Jenner & Block may terminate?

- A. "Jenner & Block may terminate this agreement by providing 30 days' prior written notice," yes.
- Q. What factors led Jenner & Block to believe on January 2, 2009 when it sent Exhibit 1 that it was not in its economic interest to continue the representation of epicRealm Licensing?
  - A. What factors?
  - Q. Yes.
- A. I listed them previously. It was the -it was the breach of contract -- the long-standing
  breach of contract, the failure to pay our
  expenses, the -- if we stayed in this case -- the
  cases substantially longer, we'd have to go through
  the same thing, and I didn't have any confidence
  and the client had given me no confidence that he
  could -- that the client would abide by the
  provisions of the agreement where he would -- the
  client would reimburse us our expenses.



1	Q. Did you have any conversations with the
2	client?
3	A. Never.
4	Q. Have you had any conversations with
5	anyone that represented the client?
6	A. Never.
7	Q. At the time that Jenner & Block withdrew
8	from all the representation of Parallel Networks,
9	so around February 2009, okay, at that time
10	A. I don't know the date exactly, but
11	Q. The letter was sent January 2, 2009,
12	right?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And then thereafter there was a
15	withdrawal from the representation in the cases?
16	A. Well, I think we it wasn't immediate.
17	There was you know, we worked with the client
18	and did what we had to do to satisfy our
19	professional obligations after that.
20	Q. So I'm talking about that time frame
21	after January 2
22	A. Yes, yes.
23	Q 2009.
24	A. Yes.



1	Q.	What amount was Oracle willing to pay to
2	settle the	case?
3	A.	I don't know.
4	Q.	Do you not believe that as a result
5	of you'r	re aware that the summary judgment ruling
6	that was er	ntered in the Oracle case was reversed by
7	the federal	l circuit?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	And that was done by Baker Botts.
10		Were you aware of that?
11	A.	No.
12	Q.	You don't know who
13	A.	It was another firm.
14	Q.	Sorry?
15	A.	I under it was another firm.
16	Q.	It wasn't Jenner & Block?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	Do you believe that having a summary
19	judgment of	non-infringement reversed added value
20	to the case	<u> </u>
21	A.	I don't I can't answer that. I don't
22	know.	
23	Q.	As a result of the final judgment of
24	non-infring	gement being entered, did Oracle owe any



1	money to Parallel Networks?
2	A. I don't know.
3	Q. And do you know what amount QuinStreet
4	was willing to pay to settle the case in the
5	January 2009 time frame?
6	A. I don't know.
7	MR. ALIBHAI: All right. Let's take a
8	two-minute break, and then I think we can wrap up.
9	(WHEREUPON, the deposition was
10	recessed from 1:14 to 1:16 p.m.)
11	MR. ALIBHAI: Ready?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	MR. ALIBHAI: Ms. Levy, I have no further
14	questions at this time.
15	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
16	EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. PELZ:
18	Q. Ms. Levy, I'm handing you what was
19	marked yesterday by counsel for Parallel Networks
20	as Exhibit 15 at the Mascherin deposition. Look at
21	that, please.
22	(WHEREUPON, there was a short
23	interruption.)
24	MR. PELZ: I'll note for the record that this



1	was not an exhibit used in today's deposition.
2	BY THE WITNESS:
3	A. Okay.
4	BY MR. PELZ:
5	Q. Do you recall on or about December 31
6	the memorandum from Ms. Mascherin to you and others
7	that is marked as Exhibit 15?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Did you in fact ask Ms. Mascherin to
10	prepare that memo memorializing the conversations
11	that occurred on December 30 and December 31?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Within those conversations was the
14	analysis that Jenner & Block was conducting with
15	respect to whether to send a notice of termination.
16	Were those issues discussed during those
17	meetings?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Have you reviewed this memorandum now?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Does this memorandum accurately to the
22	best you recall reflect discussions that took place
23	on those days of December 30 and 31 of 2008?



Α.

Yes.

24

1	Q. And is the information contained in that
2	memorandum information that you relied on in making
3	the decision to authorize sending the notice of
4	termination?
5	A. Absolutely. This was the advice of
6	Terri to me about the termination provisions of the
7	agreement the contingent fee agreement and what
8	they provided.
9	MR. PELZ: I don't have anything further at
10	this time.
11	MR. ALIBHAI: Thanks for your time.
12	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
13	FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT.
14	
15	(TIME NOTED: 1:19 P.M.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



1	STATE OF ILLINOIS	)		
2		)	ss:	
3	COUNTY OF DuPAGE	)		
_				

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

I, VICTORIA C. CHRISTIANSEN, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of Illinois, do hereby certify:

That previous to the commencement of the examination of the witness, the witness was duly sworn to testify the whole truth concerning the matters herein;

That the foregoing deposition transcript was reported stenographically by me, was thereafter reduced to typewriting under my personal direction and constitutes a true record of the testimony given and the proceedings had;

That the said deposition was taken before me at the time and place specified;

That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel for any of the parties hereto, nor interested directly or indirectly in the outcome of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I do hereunto set my



hand at Chicago, Illinois, this 7th day of June, 2012.

VICTORIA C. CHRISTIANSEN,
Certified Shorthand Reporter.

C.S.R. Certificate No. 84-3192.



	SUSAN COHEN LEVY	May 30, 2012
		79
2	IN   WITNESS	D E X EXAMINATION
3	SUSAN COHEN LEVY	
4		3
	_	
5	By Mr. Pelz	
6		
7	ЕХН	IBITS
8	NUMBER	MARKED FOR ID
9	Exhibit No. 60	17
10	Exhibit No. 61	22
11	Exhibit No. 62	26
12	Exhibit No. 63	27
13	Exhibit No. 64	31
14	Exhibit No. 65	33
15	Exhibit No. 66	36
16	Exhibit No. 67	38
17	Exhibit No. 68	44
18	Exhibit No. 69	48
19	Exhibit No. 70	49
20	Exhibit No. 71	65
21		
22	NUMBER	FIRST REFERRED TO
23	Exhibit No. 6	8
24	Exhibit No. 7	9



SUSAN COHEN LEVY	May	30,	2012
------------------	-----	-----	------

	SUSAN COHEN LEVY May 30, 2012
	80
1	I N D E X (Continued)
2	
3	EXHIBITS
4	NUMBER FIRST REFERRED TO
5	Exhibit No. 11 30
6	Exhibit No. 1 46
7	Exhibit No. 21 54
8	Exhibit No. 23 61
9	Exhibit No. 15 74
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



DEPOSITION 1	ERRATA	SHEET
--------------	--------	-------

Our Assignment Number: 342085

Case Caption: Jenner & Block vs. Parallel Networks

DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath.

 , 20	

SUSAN COHEN LEVY

Signed on the \_\_\_\_ day of



		82
1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	
2	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
3		
4	Reason for change:	
5	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
6		
7	Reason for change:	
8	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
9		
10	Reason for change:	
11	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
12		
13	Reason for change:	
14	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
15		
16	Reason for change:	
17	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
18		
19	Reason for change:	
20	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
21		
22	Reason for change:	
23	SIGNATURE:DATE:	
24	SUSAN COHEN LEVY	



	DEP	OSITION	ERRATA	SHEET
Page No	Line	No	_Change	to:
Reason for	change:			
Page No	Line	No	_Change	to:
Reason for	change:			
Page No	Line	No	_Change	to:
Reason for	change:			
Page No	Line	No	_Change	to:
Reason for	change:			
Page No	Line	No	_Change	to:
Reason for	change:			
Page No	Line	No	_Change	to:
Reason for	change:			
Page No	Line	No	_Change	to:
Reason for	change:			
SIGNATURE:				_DATE:
	SU	SAN COH	EN LEVY	



A	8:11,12	45:10,14	65:4 66:7	appears
	advised	48:13 49:20,	analysis	46:6
A.D	48:5	24 50:7	61:17 62:2	appellate
1:15	ago	52:13,18	68:18,24	22:12 37:11,
a.m	61:9	62:10 63:23	75:14	24 42:19
43:13		64:12 65:15,	and	
abide	<b>agree</b> 9:22 13:16	22 67:22	65:11	approve 15:19
58:9 71:22	34:9,14 59:4,	68:11 70:22	and/or	
able	22	74:7,11,13	4:1 81:12	approving
55:11 69:8		76:11		15:14
Absolutely	agreed	Alibhai	ANN	ARBITRATION
21:18,20 26:8	22:16 29:21 52:4 55:6		2:10 50:8	1:1 64:14,20
36:20 59:14,		3	another	65:16 66:14
16 60:12 76:5	agreeing	79:4	8:3 32:3,12	68:13
	9:12 10:6	allow	73:13,15	around
accepting	agreement	63:17	answer	18:18 20:13,
16:7	4:210:21		25:8 45:3	23 25:21
accurate	11:18 19:21	along	63:4,9,14,18	34:15 36:5
81:11	33:22 42:4	15:15 59:24	64:3,4,10	38:10,11
accurately	48:3,652:3,	already	67:11 68:8	41:11 67:5
75:21	9,1053:16,20	10:23 40:11	73:21	72:9
achieving	54:3,6,7,9,	41:3	anymore	arrears
9:20,24	14 57:8,20,21	also	14:9	32:18
action	58:5,6,10,17	7:78:247:19	apart	Aside
77:23	59:5,11 60:3,	48:5 61:17	55:10,12	5:4 41:18
	18 62:4 66:23	66:20 70:5		asked
add	70:6,8,10,	alternative	apparently 32:23 40:8	7:4,15 37:13
69:2	20,2371:7,23	65:9	41:15	·
added	76:7	amended		asking
73:19	agreements	60:11	appeal	44:18 50:17
additional	32:5		29:12 31:16	61:20 63:6
12:24 13:2	agreement's	amending	34:2,7,10,15	asserted
16:16	31:7	52:8	35:16 36:3	65:24
administrati	ahead	among	37:6,20 38:2	Assignment
on	23:12	9:18 18:11	41:9,13,24 42:18,21 43:2	81:3
29:4	ALIBHAI	amount	51:17 52:3	assistant
-	2:9 3:7 12:12	7:10 9:21	53:9 55:3,7,	44:14
administrati	17:12 18:9,17	10:2 62:24	21,24	
ve	23:2,9 26:19	64:1 66:17		assurances 28:6,20
5:4	27:5,14 31:13	67:7 68:2,14,	appealable	
advice	32:1,11 33:8,	18 69:1 73:1	22:8 29:19	at
30:247:11,19	16 36:14	74:3	33:19	70:14
62:12 76:5	38:18 39:2	amounts	appeared	attempt
advise	41:1 44:7	39:9 64:18	2:6,11	29:17
			I	



85

				85
attempted	12:1	68:5,19 69:24	68:3,2269:7,	C
9:10	banking	70:3	14 70:2,19	C
	20:22	besides	71:2,4,6,9	1:12 2:23
attorney		22:19	72:7 73:16	77:5 78:4
4:23 7:10	based		75:14 81:4	
11:15 39:11	9:710:17	best	Block's	C.S.R
77:20,21	31:14 32:21 37:7 42:16	75:22	40:1	1:13 78:8
attorney/cli	51:7 59:24	between		California
ent	66:11	56:15 60:20	both 39:23 47:23	49:3
62:8 68:9		63:8,10,16		call
attorneys	basically	big	bottom	18:6 26:13,22
62:11	6:14,15	7:6	24:24 25:3	27:6,9,19
	basis	biggest	33:10 41:2	28:1,15 43:24
attorneys'	52:11 58:20	19:19	Botts	44:19
66:6,11,21	59:8 60:4		73:9	called
authorize	64:2	billable	breach	1:11 3:4 18:5
64:16 76:3	becoming	55:7	11:18 42:3	
authorized	4:65:15	billed	47:14 57:20	call-in
46:9		70:1	58:5,16,18,	43:20
available	<b>beforehand</b> 6:3	billing	21 59:1,3	calling
21:7		4:225:2,5,17	64:19,23	56:4
	began	7:3 29:4	66:2,571:16,	came
aware	19:12	50:12	17	32:24
3:14 4:12,14	beginning	binding	break	Caption
54:17 63:3	65:23	66:14	45:11 52:14	81:4
69:15,20 73:5,10	begins	bit	74:8	
	31:7 71:1	36:6		captioned
B	behalf		Bricker	81:10
B	2:6,11	bleeds	15:24	case
79:7 80:3		44:12	bringing	4:14,15 7:5,
back	being	BLOCK	52:13	8,118:6,9,
24:15 30:18	16:5,20 31:2	1:3 2:2 3:12,	broke	12,17 9:5,9
36:1 44:9,14	53:5,770:1	15 19:6,16	53:22	10:17 15:2,9,
48:18 67:22	73:24	20:6,11 30:1,	brought	20 16:5,22
70:23	believe	13 37:18 39:5	16:20 21:2	17:3 18:20
	6:18:2,18	41:8,12 46:18	69:13	20:19 21:19,
backed	17:4 37:22	56:16,23		22 22:15,19,
42:9 52:5	38:9 48:5	57:3,11 58:1	budget	20 25:5,13,
54:5,10 56:1	51:14 55:14	59:10 60:15,	38:2	18,23 26:14,
58:7 59:23	60:21 61:15	21 61:14	Bull	24 29:1,10,16
Background	71:10 73:4,18	62:20 63:1,8,	39:20,21	40:13 41:5,9,
25:1	benefit	11,17 64:1,	bullet	14 53:10
Baker	68:21	13,18 65:4,17	25:3 41:3	64:7,19 67:14
73:9	benefits	66:7,9,16,	but	71:18 73:2,6,
bank	66:18 67:9	22,24 67:8	72:10	20 74:4 81:4



bank

66:18 67:9

Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 214.954.4111

72:10

chances

42:18

change

changes

charge

Chicago

39:18

chief

choice

59:13

chose

circuit

50:22

Civil

66:11

claim

1:4 2:6

claims

Clark

65:9,24

cases 4:8,10,12, 20,245:7,10 187:214:4, 6,20,2215:6 8,11,15,17 16:16,20 23:1430:10, 1631:132:6, 14,2140:2,7 47:2351:13, 1657:13 71:1972:15 cause 47:24
certain
5:18 17:9 22:23 26:16 27:11 31:22 33:5 36:11 38:15 44:4 48:10 49:21 65:12
certainly 28:11
Certificate 78:8
Certified 1:12 77:5 78:5
certify 77:7
chain 26:3,427:17 33:1034:22 44:8,2350:23
<b>chairman</b> 6:18,20,21
<b>challenged</b> 69:6
<b>chance</b> 37:6 38:21

82:4,7,10, 13,16,19,22 83:4,7,10, 13,16,19,22 81:12,15 1:14 2:3 78:1 6:14 39:21,22 7:20 59:15 CHRISTIANSEN 1:12 2:23 77:5 78:4 38:2 73:7 circumstance 50:13,15,19 circumstance 64:19 65:21 Claimant claiming 59:3 67:1

1:14 2:2 client 10:16 11:17 25:4,17 27:19 28:4 29:20 30:1,5,15 31:15,19 33:3 34:7 35:16 39:4 41:3 42:9 48:2 51:15 52:5,24 54:5,10,24 55:5,10,13, 15,18 56:1,4, 10 57:3,20,22 58:3,7,8 59:15,21,22 71:21,22,24 72:2,5,17 client's 59:13 Code 21:11 66:12 COHEN 1:10 3:3,10 79:3 81:20 82:24 83:24 Coit 2:7 collected 50:16 collection 5:5,177:3 29:4 collections 4:22 5:3 50:12 come 33:1 54:13 55:1,11 56:5, 11 69:1 comfort 58:8

coming 54:23 55:18 commencement 77:8 committee 6:5,6,8,9,16 12:18 13:21 14:2,9,11, 15,17,19,24 15:7,14,16 16:1 47:5 58:14 committees 6:2,4,11,13, 15 63:16 compensate 66:15 compensated 69:14 compensation 66:17 67:8 70:3 complaint 17:2 67:17 compromise 62:24 concern 11:13,19 19:19 20:3,4 concerned 11:16 21:14, 15,22 47:22 49:11 56:4 concerning 77:10 conducted 68:18,24

conducting 75:14 conference 10:2 27:9 44:19 confidence 60:271:20,21 confirmation 28:7,21 conjunction 7:18 connection 5:15 49:17 52:8 66:22 consider communicatio 12:3 consistent 57:6,7 constitutes 77:15 consult 46:23 consultation 58:11 68:4,19 69:23 consulted 47:2 contained 76:1 contingency 15:15 60:3 contingent 4:1 7:8 12:17 13:20 14:1,4, 6,8,10,18, 20,24 15:2,6, 11,19,24 52:9 53:18,19 57:21 58:9 60:10,18 62:4 76:7 continue



50:1

12:20 19:7,	66:20	55:15 75:23	demand	discuss
12,16 20:7,11	counsel	days'	60:14 64:13	13:11 24:6
47:17 57:4	24:3 37:11,24	71:7	65:16	27:19
58:8 71:3,12	51:12 58:12	day-to-day	department	discussed
Continued	60:661:6,17,	29:10	39:11,19	12:5 13:15
80:1	18,19,24			27:20,23
continues	64:5,667:13	December	DEPONENT	28:15 42:17
71:4	74:19 77:20,	18:18 20:2,8, 13 25:11	76:13	47:3,453:6,8
continuing	21	26:12 28:1	deposition	75:16
51:14,21	counts	34:6 36:17	1:10 17:10	discusses
52:20,23	64:22,23 66:8	37:21 38:4,8	22:24 26:17	33:17
	COUNTY	39:9 40:6	27:12 31:23	
contract	77:3	41:11 42:10,	33:6 36:12	discussing
47:14 60:20 64:19,23		16 43:1,8,9,	38:16 44:5 45:12 48:11	13:4,6,8 19:1,12 20:16
66:2,571:16,	couple 14:12	12,17 45:16	49:22 52:16	24:11 28:3
17		47:8 75:5,11,	65:13 74:9,20	40:5 41:20
	course	23	75:1 77:12,17	54:8,955:22,
conversation	18:3	decide	81:1,9,13	23,24 59:9
25:15,20 27:10 37:15,	court	31:18 34:8	82:183:1	discussion
17 40:3	22:2	decided	depositions	13:18 19:15
41:16,19	court's	31:15 57:5,12	17:21,24	20:5,14 22:5
43:6,16 45:4,	31:16	deciding	determine	23:24 24:20,
23 46:1	CSR	15:1	12:18	21 25:10,19,
conversation	2:24			21 31:14 33:3
	currently	<b>decision</b> 19:5 22:16	determines 71:2	34:12,17
<b>s</b>   51:15,21	13:1 15:6	29:14,21		35:15 37:1
63:10 72:1,4	cuts	30:5,646:17,	determining	40:9 41:11,22
75:10,13	44:13	21,24 56:13,	3:21	45:15 53:10
·		21 57:16,18	didn't	55:5,8,10,18
copied 46:12		58:10,14,23	7:14 28:7	56:12
	D	60:13,17,19	42:1 71:20	discussions
copy	79:1 80:1	61:1,265:6	different	13:10 16:12,
49:6	Dallas	76:3	15:10 24:9	15,19 17:7
corporate	2:8	decision-	44:1 53:17	22:11 26:14
51:12	date	making	54:11	33:235:13,
correct	72:10	29:13 30:8	direction	19,20 36:2,4 37:19 47:8
4:13 40:13	dated	DECLARATION	77:14	51:11,19
50:24 60:7	48:16	81:6	directly	52:20,23
64:14,20	day		77:22	53:22 57:2,10
corrections	21:17 37:2,16	declaratory	disclose	58:12 62:22,
81:12	43:24 48:19		62:7 67:12	23 63:7,13,21
costs	78:1 81:16	declare	disclosing	75:22
42:3 43:3	days	81:8	64:4,11 68:9	document
1	•	1		1



8:23 17:9
18:2,8 22:23
26:16 27:11
31:22 33:5
36:11 38:15
40:11,14
44:1,4 48:10
49:21 65:12
documents
39:13 59:19
doesn't
13:3 67:12
doing

dollars
32:18 38:10,
11
Don
8:2
doubtful
50:15

7:24

down
42:9 52:5
53:22 54:5,10
56:1 58:7
59:23
drafted
60:21

drafting
4:1
duly
3:1,477:9
DuPAGE

during 20:4 75:16

77:3

79:1,780:1,3 **each** 66:8

earlier
52:2
early
37:23
economic

71:3,11

either 29:16 59:21 66:16

e-mail
9:16 13:13
17:17 18:11,
12,16 23:22
25:11 26:3
27:8,16 28:13
33:10,17
34:20,22
36:15 38:19

39:24 40:15 43:8,10,11 44:8,9,13,23 48:14 50:23 54:20 56:8

e-mails
16:24
e-mail's
28:10

**employee** 77:19,20

**end** 24:19 28:22 40:5 47:8

enforcement 66:23

engagement 12:20 25:5, 13,18,22 31:18 41:4

enrichment 64:24

**entered** 18:20 34:6

73:6,24
entering
33:18
entire
81:9

entitled
60:22 61:14
64:1 66:10
70:6

**entry** 66:13

EPICREALM 1:7 9:5,24 12:21 13:1 66:15,19 67:10 68:6 71:12

equals 68:20

ERRATA 81:1,13 82:1 83:1

estoppel 66:6

ethical
21:7,9,10,
13,15

**evaluated** 42:18,21

eventually 57:12

**exactly** 30:3 38:12 72:10

examination 1:11 3:6 74:16 77:9 79:2

examined
3:5

executive
6:14

**Exhibit** 8:21 9:15

13:13 17:10 18:10 22:24 23:4 26:17,21 27:12,15,24

30:21 31:23 32:2 33:6,9 36:12,15 38:16,19

40:15 43:11 44:5,10 46:6 48:9,11,14,

48:9,11,14, 19 49:20,22 54:20 56:19 58:15 61:4

65:13,16 71:10 74:20 75:1,7 79:9,

10,11,12,13, 14,15,16,17, 18,19,20,23

18,19,20,23, 24 80:5,6,7, 8,9

**exist** 14:24

existed 14:19

**expended** 7:7 20:20

**expense** 27:19

expenses 5:27:719:20 20:2228:20

30:13 31:2 32:5,13 38:5, 9,13 43:5 47:15,16,21 48:1,2,7 57:23 58:1,3, 19 59:7 60:1, 4 66:21

71:18,24

experience
7:23

extent 63:5

F

fact 75:9 factors

71:9,13 **facts** 10:17

failed 57:22

failing
60:1

**failure** 5:1 19:20 28:3 47:14 58:19 71:17

fair
66:17 67:8
68:3,19 69:23
70:3

**faith** 48:159:6

**familiar** 60:9

familiarize
18:3

Farrell 48:16 favor

66:13

favorable 69:9,11

**February** 54:24 58:23 72:9

**federal** 38:273:7



fee 4:17:812:17 13:2014:2,4, 6,8,10,18, 20,2415:2,6, 11,15,19,24 52:953:16, 19,2054:4 57:2158:9 59:560:3,10, 1862:469:21 76:7
feel 9:218:331:4
fees 52:3 60:15, 18,23 61:1,14 62:4,12 65:8 66:6,11,16, 21,24 70:1,7
<b>fell</b> 55:10,12
figures 24:18
<b>file</b> 50:13,14
<b>filed</b> 17:1 64:13 65:2,17,21
<b>files</b> 50:18
filing 35:6
final 22:6 29:18 33:19 54:9 67:3,4 73:23
<b>finalize</b> 56:14
finally

39:11,12,19 50:9,11
financial 5:19 12:19 39:12,21 finding 18:21
firm  3:21 4:19  5:18,23 6:14, 15,19 7:9 10:15 11:14, 15 12:1 13:20 15:2,7,14,18 19:11 21:14 25:12 31:17 34:8,13,18 40:5 41:3,20 42:5,10 46:10 47:9 53:4 54:13 55:1,2, 20,24 57:5,12 61:6,7,17, 18,19,24 62:3 69:15 73:13, 15
<b>firm's</b> 12:19
first 3:4,1419:11 26:233:9 39:3,644:13 57:1979:22 80:4
firsthand 32:24
flip 26:270:23
<b>flipping</b> 70:10,12
<b>focus</b> 18:6,7 21:2

focused 12:2,719:21, 22 20:19
Fokas 40:4,10 41:16,19
<b>follow</b> 29:8 60:2
following 26:10
<b>follows</b> 3:5 68:1
foregoing 77:12
form 13:20 62:5
formed 63:24
<b>forth</b> 9:13 10:12 60:20
<b>forward</b> 8:13 58:3
<b>four</b> 44:19
frame 14:23 20:17 28:24 36:5 54:24 72:20 74:5
<pre>free 9:218:331:4 59:11</pre>
fronting 42:3
<b>full</b> 3:818:6,7
<b>funds</b> 30:11,16 52:6
further

59:1 74:13

58:10 59:7 G gave 22:13 28:5 29:751:9 general 37:5 generally 9:12 24:3 getting 12:7 27:8 28:20 given 71:21 77:16 giving 23:10 30:1 go 14:11 22:11 23:12 42:2 49:11 71:19 goal 9:20,24 going 7:58:12,13 11:14 19:23 20:7 22:2,11, 12 28:21 30:12,15 31:17 32:16 33:10 34:13 35:13 40:2,6, 20 42:23 58:3 60:11 62:7 63:12 Goldman 36:16 37:10 42:19 48:15 good 10:9 37:6

76:9,13

future

47:17,21

gotten 67:13 ground 17:23 grounds 19:23 48:4 guarantee 58:2 guess 3:18 13:22 61:9 guessing 13:23 61:8 Н 79:780:3 habit 46:2 hadn't 51:8 half 32:17 38:10, 11 hand 18:2 78:1 handing 23:3 74:18 handle 11:21 34:9,14 36:3 37:20 41:8,13,24 43:251:16 52:3 53:9 handled 14:14,16 handling 55:24 60:5 happened 10:22 11:11 35:7 happening



28:22

32:17

finance

				90
16:3 happens 19:5 34:24 hard 69:7 Harris 8:2 Harry 22:22 haven't 65:1 head 15:24 62:17 header 24:24 Heinz 62:15 help 7:5 8:11	history 60:1 hold 22:2 Hoover 61:5,662:14 hour 53:1458:7 hourly 52:1153:20 59:5,11 60:15,17,23 61:1462:3 66:1767:1 70:1,6 hours 55:7 huge 11:24	58:4 incur 47:17 48:1 incurred 66:22 indicated 81:13 indirectly 77:22 information 9:8 62:8 63:7 64:4 67:12 68:10 76:1,2 infringement 49:1 initial 63:15 initially 63:4	33:13 38:23 50:4 65:19 67:19 70:16 74:23 invalidity 22:2 29:17 invested 7:11 investment 11:15 invoices 69:2,5,16 involved 4:24 5:1,10 6:12 7:3,15 15:1,14 22:15 29:9,12 30:7 35:20 37:24 60:13 62:22, 23 63:6 65:5	ckwilson.com 2:9  JAMIL 2:9  JAMS 1:1  JANE 2:10  January 22:3 29:12,16 37:22 43:1 46:17 47:8 48:16,18 49:1 51:10 52:19 56:14,18 57:9 58:15 71:10 72:11,21 74:5  JENNER 1:3 2:2 3:12,
14:3,21 36:22 her 7:4,15,24	ID 79:8	initiate 13:2 instruction	involvement 3:20,24 17:5 22:10 28:23	15 19:6,16 20:6,10 30:1, 13 36:3 37:18 39:5 40:1
8:10 10:5,11, 12 11:2 20:14 29:8 32:23 33:3 34:20 44:18 47:20	identificati on 17:11 23:1 26:18 27:13 31:24 33:7	9:19 intake 14:6 intend 68:12	<pre>involving 16:21 issue 5:111:21 20:14 27:19</pre>	41:8,12 46:17 51:13,16 52:21 56:15, 23 57:3,11 58:1 59:9 60:15,21
50:10 51:2,4 63:12,16,17 hereby 77:7 herein 3:4 77:11	36:13 38:17 44:6 48:12 49:23 65:14 Illinois 1:13,15 2:3, 24 77:1,6	<pre>interest 71:3,11 interested 16:17 77:22 interests</pre>	29:11 35:10 41:7 42:22 49:11 54:16, 23 63:13 issues 5:2 7:3 22:3	61:14 62:20, 24 63:8,11,16 64:1,13,18 65:4,17 66:7, 9,16,22,24 67:7 68:3,22
hereof 81:14 hereto 77:22 hereunto 77:24	78:1 immediate 72:16 immediately 22:8 29:19 including	<pre>12:20 internal 63:12 internally 37:19 interruption</pre>	27:20,23 28:14 29:5 40:1 43:7,18 53:3,5,7 54:1,12,15 62:12 75:16	69:7,14 70:1, 19 71:1,4,6,9 72:7 73:16 75:14 81:4 jneiswender@ munckwilson.
HIRSCH 2:5 62:14	4:22 66:21 inconsistent	12:11 18:14 23:6 27:2 31:10 32:8	J jalibhai@mun	com 2:10



JOEL 2:4 jogged 28:14	25:14,16 28:19 29:6 30:3,4,15 35:5 37:23	71:9 <b>legal</b> 28:24 62:11 65:24 68:22	LLC 1:6 LLP 1:3 2:2	1:7 lunch 52:14
jogs 27:22 join 6:11	38:8,13,20 39:15 41:23 42:22 45:24 47:1,19 50:1, 20 52:24	lengthy 10:12 18:8 Let's 9:22 43:21	long 47:23 60:1 65:1	M 2:5 major
jpelz@jenner .com 2:4	53:8,13 56:7 59:18,24 60:2 68:8 69:12, 18,19 72:10,	45:10 52:14 74:7 <b>letter</b> 46:16 48:20	longer 14:8,13 19:6, 16 20:6,9,10 55:13,16 57:13 71:19	7:811:19 making 32:1976:2 management
Judge 18:19 judgment 7:24 17:2	17 73:3,12,22 74:2,3,6 <b>knowledge</b> 32:24	49:12 51:11 56:14,18 57:9 61:10 72:11 LEVY	l o n g - standing 58:1871:16	6:2,4,5,8,16 14:3,17,21 15:7,13,16 29:10
18:20 19:2 20:24 22:1,6 24:4,17 29:18 31:17 33:19 34:2 35:17 48:24 66:12 73:5,19,23	L language 11:10 last 12:8 14:12 25:3 41:2	1:10 3:3,10, 11 17:15,16, 19 32:2 74:13,18 79:3 81:20 82:24 83:24	look 7:48:3,69:1 12:814:20 18:7,1023:10 27:1531:4 33:944:8,22 46:448:18	managing 3:11 4:6,18, 22 5:11,15, 21,24 6:7,17, 19,23 42:23  MANDALA 2:7
July 3:13 5:13,14	late 38:7	LICENSING 1:771:12 light	63:22 64:21 74:20 <b>looked</b>	Marc 42:19
June 78:1 just 13:8 15:8 30:18 35:11	later 11:19 13:6 36:7 42:13 law 12:1 62:3	66:18 67:8 68:4,19 69:24 70:3 <b>likely</b> 47:3 49:9	35:23 44:9 65:1 looking 5:10 7:9 8:9 26:6 30:20	Margolis 38:20 39:3,24 46:9 48:19 54:21 56:3 69:20
44:9 61:20 70:24 <b>K</b>	lawsuits 13:1,2 lawyers	58:12 61:12 line 48:20	36:8 42:20 43:22 44:1 48:23 49:19	Margolis's 41:18 Mark
know 6:13 7:8,23 8:11,13 11:9, 23 12:5,22	11:22 21:10 22:14,18 37:5,9 42:19 69:7	listed 71:15 litigation 18:6	67:16 70:13, 14,24 looks 28:2 34:21	37:23 marked 8:20 9:15 17:10 22:24
13:3,9,14, 15,22 14:7,21 15:21 19:4,21 20:1,3,18,19 21:1,3,6 24:14,18	<pre>least 13:263:4,15 leave 61:7 led</pre>	litigator 7:23 8:4 17:13,19 little 36:6	lost 20:23 lot 20:20	23:3 26:17,20 27:12 30:21 31:23 33:6 36:12 38:16 44:5 46:6 48:8,11 49:22



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 214.954.4111

54:20 61:4 65:13 74:19 75:7 79:8 <b>Markowski</b> 61:7 <b>Mary</b> 50:8	medi 9:20 11:3 16:4 meet 27:9
Mascherin 7:2,13,21 8:6,89:4,8, 17 12:16 17:13 18:11, 24 20:6 22:19 23:14 24:10 25:12 26:4, 13,23 27:17 32:4,13 33:3 34:5,13 36:16 38:20 43:7,17 45:16 47:3,12 48:15 51:11, 23 54:21 56:10 60:5 74:20 75:6,9	75:1 memb 47:2 13 memo 9:11 10:5 24:26 31:2 45:1 75:1 memo 8:19 memo 8:17 13:1
Mascherin's 12:933:17 40:1561:16 70:20 matter 42:2049:18 81:10	19,2 memo 9 75:1 memo 27:2 45:2
matters 50:21 77:11 mean 20:24 24:14 27:7 39:10 52:1 54:7 meaningful	#5:2 55:2 memo 7:18 46:2 51:2 61:1
22:13 means 70:9	39:2 Mere 39:2

FVY	
mediation 9:20,2410:23 11:3,7,11,12 16:4,1024:12	
meeting 27:9 35:10	
meetings 75:17	
members 47:2,558:11, 13	
memo 9:11,13,23 10:5,11,12, 24 26:1 30:22 31:21 42:16	
45:19 63:22 75:10 memoranda	
8:19 9:3	
memorandum 8:17 9:7 12:9 13:11 75:6, 19,21 76:2	
memorializin	
<b>g</b> 75:10	
memory 27:22 28:14 45:21 46:3 55:22	
memos 7:18 30:14,20 46:2 47:13,20 51:20,24 61:16	
Mendes 39:22	
<b>Meredith</b> 39:22	

70:8
message 28:7
Microsoft 16:2017:1
mid 13:23
million 9:21 10:2 24:10,16 32:18 38:10, 11 67:4,5 68:16,22,23
mine 20:3
minutes 18:7
Monday 34:6
money 20:21 21:1 28:5 30:17 32:17,24 38:9,14 69:1 74:1
monitor 14:3,22 15:10,16
month 42:13 69:5
months 57:24
morning 43:12
most 60:9
mostly 22:21,22
motion 29:1 34:24 35:3,6,23 36:9,19 37:8

42:17 48:24 move 50:18,21 multiple 65:24 MUNCK 2:7 N N 2:9 79:1 80:1 name 3:8 7:18 necessary 33:22 66:10 need 9:110:20 18:4 63:22 needs 34:8 negotiating 4:1 negotiations 13 | 59:10 60:6,10 NEISWENDER 2:10 **NETWORKS** 1:63:15,22 4:4,107:1 16:16 17:3 26:24 34:1 38:6 41:9,14 45:18 46:19 50:18 52:21 53:254:13 55:3,20 56:16,22 57:8,12 58:11,24 59:4,10 60:14,20,22 62:13 63:9, 11,17,21

66:13,15,19 67:9 68:6,20 69:6,8,24 70:4 72:8 74:1,19 81:4 Networks's 51:12 60:6 never 20:21 69:6 72:3,6 new 52:10 53:16 nhirsch@jenn er.com 2:5 No.Change 82:2,5,8,11, 14,17,20 83:2,5,8,11, 14,17,20 No.Line 82:2,5,8,11, 14,17,20 83:2,5,8,11, 14,17,20 Nobody 62:20 n 0 n infringement 18:21 24:5 73:19,24 Nora 44:14 NORMAN 2:5 North 1:14 2:2 note 74:24



meruit

64:2 66:4,5

measured

70:1

Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 214.954.4111

NOTED

76:15

Nothing	offer	Oracle	P	62:13 63:8,
37:4	81:14	4:14 9:5	p.m	11,17,21
notice	offhand	24:22 25:23	43:8,21 44:20	66:13,14,18
46:8 51:8,9	26:15 45:24	26:14 29:21	45:13 52:17	67:9 68:5,20
52:22 71:8		30:6 41:13	74:10 76:15	69:6,8,24
75:15 76:3	officer	73:1,6,24		70:4 72:8
	6:14 39:21,22	Oracle/Paral	page	74:1,19 81:4
number	Oh	·	12:8,13	part
38:12 43:20	11:1 46:4	lel	23:20,21,22 24:24 25:4	12:22 15:11
63:21 67:4	Okay	41:9		29:13
79:8,22 80:4	4:17 8:20,22	Oracle's	26:241:2	participatin
81:3	14:10,18	24:3 48:24	44:9,13,14 65:23 82:2,5,	
numbered	17:18 23:19,	order	8,11,14,17,	g
31:6	23 27:4 28:18	66:14	20 83:2,5,8,	36:4
numbers	31:12 33:15	orders	11,14,17,20	particularly
67:3	36:8 39:1	34:6		36:10
0	42:14 43:4		paid	parties
	44:3,24 50:6	originally	11:18,23 12:7	10:21 42:7
oath	52:15 55:17	59:20	20:21 28:21	70:8 77:21
81:15	56:13 61:10	outcome	38:5,739:4,	partner
Object	68:17 69:4	77:23	9,14 42:1,24	3:12 4:6,18,
62:5	72:9 74:15	outside	43:2 48:7	22 5:11,16,
obligated	75:3 76:12	31:20 51:23	52:3 53:13,14	21,246:1,7,
48:3	Once	62:20 68:17	55:7 58:2,6	17,19,23
	19:4 31:7	outstanding	Paragraph	42:23
obligation 21:14	34:6	30:13 38:5,8	10:5 12:13	
		39:5,9,13	31:6,839:6	<b>parts</b> 23:17
obligations	ones 40:21	60:15	66:9 67:16	
21:8,9,10,16			70:18	Pat
39:5,14 57:7	One's	over 5:114:12	PARALLEL	39:21
58:9 72:19	66:4	18:19 32:17	1:63:15,22	patent
obviously	operating	44:12	4:4,107:1	7:23
9:210:20	39:22		16:5,16,21	Paul
11:7 27:7	opinion	overseeing	17:2 18:20	37:23 42:19
37:23	9:9 22:14	5:10	26:24 34:1	Paul's
occurred	68:13,23 70:2	oversees	38:6 41:13	39:10 41:16
63:8,10 75:11	opinions	15:8	45:18 46:18	
October	63:24	oversight	50:18 51:12	pay
10:22 11:13,		15:4	52:21 53:2	5:1 19:20
16,19 12:4,6	opportunity 23:10	owe	54:13 55:3,20	28:3,630:12,
13:5,10		73:24	56:16,22	16 31:2 32:17,19 43:3
24:11,16	option		57:8,12,14	47:14 48:2
O'Donnell	29:16	owed	58:11,24	52:7 55:13,19
50:8 17	options	28:4 30:13,17	59:4,10 60:6,	57:22 58:3 19

60:15



50:8,17

21:4,6

Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 214.954.4111

14,20,22

57:22 58:3,19

59:7 60:1,3 66:16 71:17 73:1 74:4 paying 58:1 payment 32:4,13 53:23 59:23 PELZ 2:4 18:5 40:20 62:5,14 63:4 64:3 67:11 74:17, 24 75:4 76:9 Pelz...... ..74 79:5 PENALTY 81:6,8 pending 30:11 40:2,7 people 37:2 PERJURY 81:6,8 person 60:9 65:5 personal 27:18 68:15, 23 77:14 phone 26:13 27:18, 24 pink 40:20 place 75:22 77:18 plan

9:4

3:8 9:23 74:21 plus 24:10 point 7:4 19:22 34:1 37:18 41:3 pointing 71:1 policy 6:2,4,5,9 15:16 47:5 58:4,13 possibility 22:1 24:1 possible 10:1 possibly 61:17 62:15 potential 26:23 51:16 potentially 47:4 practice 29:1 66:11

prepare 75:10 prepared 9:8 38:1 preparing PRESENT 2:114:8 presiding 18:19 pretrial 10:2 previous

11:7 27:24

77:8

Prob-38:3 probably 7:17 11:9 47:12 procedures 14:5 proceed 29:23 52:11 56:14 proceedings 77:16 process 15:1 20:2 29:13 30:8 51:5 produced 23:21 product 64:6,11 67:13 Professional 21:11 57:7 72:19 promises 32:16,19 promissory 66:6 prosecuting 31:16 provided

previously

30:21 54:19

principally

61:3 71:15

20:20

Prior

4:6 25:10

47:8 71:7

62:8 68:9

privileged

8:20 9:14

8:16,18 9:9 42:1 62:11 64:5 70:21 76:8 providing 71:7

provisions 71:23 76:6 purportedly 52:6

pursue 24:21

pursuing 12:24 16:17

put 50:14 55:6

quantum

O

64:1 66:4,5 70:8 question 9:2 23:8 27:4 32:10 41:10, 15,17 54:22

56:17 60:23, 24 62:5 63:5, 14,15 64:3 67:21 questions

63:18 74:14 QuinStreet 4:15 16:21 25:1,13 40:13 41:5 74:3

R

2:10 raise 28:4 35:10 raises 40:3

R

raising 40:1

random 23:20

range 24:22 rate 66:17

rates 67:1

reach 10:21 69:8

read 12:22 18:3

36:20 39:1 42:16 67:22, 23 81:9,11

reading 17:16

Ready 74:11 really

4:21 8:7 14:16 21:2 22:13,14 70:19

reason 8:1,5 27:21 47:15 82:4,7, 10,13,16,19, 22 83:4,7,10, 13,16,19,22

reasonable 66:10,21

reasons 10:11,13 47:15 57:19

recall 3:16 8:16 9:3 11:113:4,6 15:21,22,23

16:3,6,9,15,



43:21

please

19,24 17:1 18:18 19:14. 18 20:15 21:24 22:4,9 24:23 25:14, 20 26:1,12 30:3,10,14, 18,20 31:14 33:4 34:16,19 35:7,14,22 37:21 38:1,3, 4,741:23 42:8 43:6 45:15,19 47:6,11,13 48:23 49:8, 10,1351:10 52:22 53:4 54:18,23 55:4,8,9,12, 17 56:12 57:2 58:22 61:8 62:9 63:20 64:22 75:5,22 recalled 29:15 33:21 receivable 7:6 11:17,24 12:7 receive 35:12

12:7
receive
35:12
received
10:24 13:12
16:24 24:4

16:24 24:4 66:18 67:9 68:5,19 69:24 70:3 receiving

18:16
recessed
45:13 52:17
74:10

recognize 8:23

recollection 12:15 18:23 26:22 31:20

39:8 59:20 **recommend** 26:9

35:9 36:18

recommendati

10:4,7,10,13 11:20 16:7 26:7

recommendati

9:12,23 10:18 29:7,9 70:20

recommending 12:17 24:12, 15

reconsider 35:1,4,6 36:9,1937:8 42:17

reconsiderat

19:2 35:24 reconvene

ion

9:23 11:2,10 24:12

reconvened 9:20 16:5

record 3:9 67:23 74:24 77:15

recoup 11:14 recover 66:10

recovery 65:8

reduced

77:14 re-examine

12:18 reference

16:23

referenced 27:24

referencing
28:16

REFERRED 79:22 80:4 referring

30:23 40:14, 24 61:23 63:2

**reflect** 75:22

refresh 12:15 36:18

45:20 46:2 59:19

**refreshes** 18:22 26:21

**reg-** 5:19

regarding 5:5 8:17 9:4 14:5 19:6 23:14 26:13, 23 29:17

31:15 32:13 38:2 43:18 45:17 51:13, 15 52:20

57:10 62:12 **regularly** 5:20

reimburse 66:15 71:24

reimbursemen

**t** 61:1 relates 70:18

relating 5:2

relationship 12:4 45:17

56:15

**relative** 77:19,20

relied
22:14 37:9
47:11 76:2

**rely** 7:24

**relying** 16:7 70:19

remember 7:14 9:11

11:11 12:6 13:8,17,19 16:12 18:12, 16,22 20:16

22:16 25:15, 16 27:6,8,10 28:17 33:23

35:3 36:3,8, 22 37:4,15,17 43:16 45:6,22

47:7 49:15,18 51:18,21,22 52:1 61:20

remind 43:23 45:4

renegotiate 54:5

**reply** 34:21

report 5:16 39:23

**REPORTED** 2:23 77:13

Reporter

1:13 67:24 77:6 78:5

reporting
6:16

reports 5:20

represent 52:21 53:1 55:2,20 57:11,13 58:24

representati

on

3:22 19:7,13, 17 20:7,11 34:9,14 40:12 46:18 47:10, 18 48:21 56:22 57:6 71:3,12 72:8,

representati

ons 57:4

represented 72:5

representing 3:15 59:21

request 66:12

requested 67:24

requesting 13:155:2

**requiring** 53:4 66:14

resolve 47:24

respect

4:7,9,20 5:7 7:1 8:8 11:21 16:4 25:22



28:24 29:11 11:4,612:23 79:7 80:3 62:9 24:1,6,10,21 63:7,9,13 14:21 15:5 26:14,24 SAITH seek 64:5,6,7 17:4,14,19 31:1,16 32:5 76:13 60:17 61:1 67:12,14 19:3 20:11 35:10,13 62:3 satisfy 75:15 21:17 22:7 69:9,10 72:18 seeking 23:15 26:4,5 Respondents settlements 42:10 62:12 save 29:6,20 1:8 2:11 30:12 32:14, 63:1 65:5,8 81:11 33:19,23,24 21 66:7,20 67:8 response 34:4,7,23 saw 34:20 35:12 68:3 settling 35:2,24 39:17 7:17 16:23 49:1 50:24 30:11 seen 40:22,24 41:5 39:12 40:11 46:7 responsibili seven 43:14 44:10 56:7 61:12 24:18 45:10 48:6,16 ties send saying 51:1,3 52:13, 4:19,215:5,6 34:21 46:10 seven-figure 24:5 28:5 21 54:14,18 49:5 75:15 24:6,22 responsibili 30:14 35:5 55:11,15 53:15 56:9 sending Shaking tу 57:14 59:12, 76:3 61:21 62:17 4:7 15:12 13 64:8 65:10 SHEET 21:11 50:11 says sense 70:11 72:12 81:1,13 82:1 19:4 20:10 10:14,16,18, responsible 74:7 23:22 24:17 19 12:4 35:16 83:1 4:23 Road 25:1,434:5 37:5,7 short result 2:7 39:4 43:21 12:10 18:13 sent 73:4,23 Robinson 48:20 56:5 13:13 43:11 23:5 27:1 retainer 18:19 66:9 46:16 48:19 31:9 32:7 42:1,5,9,11 role scheduled 51:11 52:22 33:12 38:22 52:4 53:5,11 4:75:11,23 44:23 56:14,18 57:9 45:10 50:3 55:6 7:21 14:1,14, 58:16 69:5 65:18 67:18 second reversed 20 40:1,6 71:10 72:11 70:15 74:22 26:3 27:16 73:6,19 50:10 31:5 44:13 Shorthand sentence review 1:12 77:6 room 47:15 13:16 38:21 50:2 27:9 78:5 section reviewed Roper 71:1 9:13 16:10 show 65:2 75:19 43:7 45:16 9:14 26:20 44:18 60:19 see 77:24 44:2 48:8 reviewing route 9:22 11:5 54:19 59:19 9:11 36:19 22:12 12:13 19:9 sets 61:3 65:11 37:7 49:10, 21:3 24:7 10:12 rules 68:12 13,16 25:6 26:21 17:23 settle 27:22 28:13 showed revised 24:16 30:16 ruling 29:8 34:11 5:17 69:21 73:2 74:4 19:2 22:1 39:3,7,24 showing revising 31:17 34:3 settled 45:1 56:3 27:21 69:15 35:17 73:5 9:10 61:10 shown right settlement seeing 62:2 4:15,16 10:24 9:21 10:1,20 S 26:1 30:14



<pre>shows 20:23 side 24:17 SIGNATUREDAT E 82:23 83:23 Signed</pre>	<pre>special 50:13,15,19, 21 specialized 14:20 specific 13:17 21:13, 21 23:17 45:6 47:7 51:22</pre>	6:871:18 stenographic ally 77:13 stipulation 33:18,22 strategic 12:19	1:10 3:3,10 79:3 81:20 82:24 83:24 switch 59:4,11 sworn 3:2,5 77:10 T	29:8  terminate 25:5,18 31:18 34:8,14 41:4 46:18 48:6 56:22 57:5 58:14 70:7 71:5,6  terminated
81:16 single 18:8 sitting 39:16 skilled	61:23 specifically 8:9 16:21 19:1 49:19 50:10 61:21 specified	strategy 28:24 Street 1:14 2:2 strong 41:24 42:22	1 2:4 79:7 80:3 take 3:21 12:8 15:2 16:16 18:4,7 31:4 33:9 34:2	48:451:9 terminating 12:325:12,22 40:1245:17 47:9,13 termination
7:228:3 <b>Smith</b> 36:1637:10 42:1945:17 48:15	77:18 spend 21:1 spoke 7:13	<pre>subject 20:17 34:18 substantial 47:16,21 48:1 substantiall</pre>	36:1 45:10 46:4 47:23 52:14 53:9 74:7 <b>taken</b> 1:11 17:21	12:6,23 13:4 19:24 46:8 48:20 49:12 51:7,9 52:23 56:15 70:18 75:15 76:4,6
7:12 30:6 37:24 70:9 somebody 59:21 61:21 somewhere	ss 77:2 standard 66:16 67:1 started	Y 71:19 Suite 2:7 summary 18:20 19:2	77:17 81:10  taking 15:15,19 18:10  talk	terms 7:7,10 11:15 22:11 29:3 52:20 53:1 57:11 60:3 Terri
67:3 sorry 5:13 17:16 32:10 35:18 37:13 39:6 40:17 41:10 43:9 56:17 67:17,21	13:16  State 1:13 3:8 77:1,6  stated 10:11  statements 69:21	20:24 21:24 22:6 24:4,17 29:18 31:17 33:18 34:2 35:16 48:24 73:5,18 supposed 33:1	23:17 24:18 31:5 talked 40:12 47:2 talking 12:23,24 16:4 22:20,21 28:1 42:12 72:20	7:211:10 16:819:18 21:222:21,22 28:529:6,7 32:1545:20 46:147:3,12, 1948:561:16 70:2076:6
73:14 sort 5:914:19 44:12 sought 64:18 sounded 10:18	states 70:6 status 5:17 8:17 23:14 32:4,16 stay 51:13,16 stayed	supposition 25:19 sure 3:19 16:9 36:22 38:12 45:3,5 49:14 63:22 64:21 SUSAN	team 47:258:12  tell 37:1451:4 70:13  ten 18:7  tended	Terri's 9:12 10:4 16:7 47:13 61:17 testified 3:5 52:2 testify 63:12 77:10



	7.6 10 0.1	140.2	I	
testimony	7:6,10 9:1 10:24 11:15	49:3	under-	
77:15	12:2 14:23	transcript	73:15	want
Texas	18:4 19:11,19	77:12 81:9	understand	18:5 23:17
2:8 30:11	20:4,17,23	trial	7:5 17:23	27:16 31:5
62:3 66:11	25:11,22	10:1 22:2,12	understandin	42:2,663:16
Thank	28:24 34:15	29:12	g	wanted
74:15 76:12	36:5 39:19	tried	53:18 61:13	8:10 28:7
Thanks	42:15,16	16:10 54:5	65:781:14	41:8,12 49:5
76:11	44:16 47:24	58:5	unjust	50:20 54:10
then-	54:24 71:2	true	64:24	warrants
	72:7,9,20	77:15 81:11	-	27:18
existing	74:5,14	truth	unless	wasn't
58:16	76:10,11,15	77:10	39:12	4:24 29:9
thereafter	77:18	-	unsuccessful	30:153:18
72:14 77:13	timely	try 21:3 24:13,16	11:8	54:9 55:10
thing	58:19 59:7	29:16 30:15	update	72:16 73:16
71:20	60:4		23:13 32:3,	watching
things	timing	trying	12,15	11:23
12:16 18:24	53:23	28:4,13	upfront	way
think	today	two	55:19 59:23	15:8 22:11
7:16 10:9,16,	39:16	4:12 10:20	use	29:23 39:15
21 11:22 12:6		13:2 61:8	13:3 30:15	
15:3 20:18	today's	two-minute	v	<b>week</b> 26:10
22:13 28:9	75:1	74:8		
36:6 42:7,15	told	type	value	we'll
49:13 52:1	11:2,22 25:4,	5:16 30:22	68:13,15	19:5 24:18
53:10 59:20	17 28:4 32:23	42:5	73:19	went
63:20 64:10,	41:3 51:2,23	typewriting	Valukas	49:12 61:11
23 69:10 70:5	52:6 55:13,16	77:14	6:18 7:15	we're
72:16 74:8	59:20	<u> </u>	13:15 47:4	12:1 21:15
thinking	tomorrow		58:13	28:2,21 60:22
42:24	19:5	Uh-huh	version	65:8 66:20
third	Tony	12:14 19:8	61:12	70:6
47:18	7:19 13:15	25:2,7 46:13	VICTORIA	whatever
thought	47:4 58:13	ultimately	1:12 2:23	21:7 22:16
10:14 20:2	Tony's	57:4,2458:1,	77:5 78:4	49:11
29:15,20 30:5	7:18	5 63:10	  view	Whenever
35:5 37:6	top	under	27:18 68:15	18:2 36:6
41:23 42:7,8,	42:18 44:22	31:6 48:3,6		WHEREOF
21 52:2 54:3	50:23	58:9 62:3,4	violation	77:24
three	totally	64:18 66:8	19:20 42:3	
50:21	58:4	77:14 81:6,8,	vs	WHEREUPON
		15	9:5 41:13	3:1 12:10 17:9 18:13
time	town		81:4	1 1 1 2 10 1 2



22:23 23:5	72:7	45:20	114	81:17
26:16 27:1,11	within	x	74:10	200
31:9,22 32:7	21:7 75:13	x	116	43:8,21 44:23
33:5,12 36:11	witness	79:1,780:1,3	74:10	200000
38:15,22 44:4	1:11 3:1,4	l — ·	119	55:14,23
45:12 48:10	18:15 23:7	Y	76:15	59:22
49:21 50:3 52:16 65:12,	27:3 31:11	Yeah		2000s
18 67:18,23	32:9 33:14	5:22 14:4	12	13:23
70:15 74:9,22	38:24 40:22,	28:2,12 29:24	25:11	2005
1	23 50:5 52:15	40:8 41:15	1206	13:23
whether	62:6 63:19	43:13,15 44:2	45:13	
3:21 7:14 12:3,17,18	64:8,965:20	52:1,10 53:17	1217	2006
15:1 16:9,15	67:15,20 68:7	54:2 68:8	45:13	13:23
19:12 22:6	70:17 74:12,	69:2 70:5	1228	2007
24:21 31:18	15 75:2 76:12	year	52:17	14:23 15:18
34:8,9,13	77:9,24 79:2	28:22	1244	2008
35:7,15 36:2	wondering	yearend	52:17	3:13 11:13,
37:15,19,21	35:11	28:6	12770	16,19 12:4,6
41:8,12 47:1	word	years	2:7	14:23 15:23
50:15,18,20	13:3 18:8	14:12 61:8	1310019934	40:6 41:12
51:13 52:24	work	yesterday	1:5	42:10 43:8,12 45:16 75:23
55:2,18,20	54:4 58:6	7:13 27:21,23		
57:10 62:3,	64:6,11 67:13	28:15 74:19	<b>15</b> 65:23 74:20	2009
23,24 75:15	68:22 69:7	yourself	75:7 80:9	29:16 46:17
whole	worked	18:4		52:19 54:24
49:18 77:10	42:8 72:17		16	58:15 71:10 72:9,11,23
willing	working		26:12 28:1	74:5
24:5 73:1	22:18 29:3	'08	16th	
74:4	69:21	5:14	28:16	2010
WILSON	works	1	17	69:16
2:7	50:9	1	79:9	2011
wish	wrap	10:5 31:6	1990	63:3
25:5,17	74:8	46:6 48:19	6:1	2012
wished	write	56:19 58:15	2	1:15 78:2
41:4	44:14	71:10 80:6	2	21
withdraw	writes	10	23:22 46:17	54:20 80:7
57:5,13	28:5	67:4,568:16,	47:9 48:16,18	22
1		21,23	49:151:10	34:6 79:10
withdrawal 72:15	writing	11	52:19 56:14,	23
	28:8,20 46:2	30:21 80:5	18 57:9 58:15	36:17 42:16
withdrawing	written	1105	71:10 72:11,	61:4 80:8
51:6	71:7	1:15	21	230
withdrew	wrote		20	44:19
	•	•	•	•



				100
24 38:4 26 79:11 27 79:12 3 3 24:24 41:2 30 9:21 10:2 24:9,16 39:9 41:12 43:8, 12,17 55:15 71:7 75:11,23 80:5 30000 52:7 55:15,23 59:23 30th 1:15 31	18:18:20:2,8, 13:23:22:41:2  44 79:17 46 80:6 48 79:18 49 79:19 5 500000 11:17:20:22 28:3:42:8,11 52:4:53:4,11, 23:54:4:55:1, 6,11,14,19 56:6,11 54 80:7 6 6	31:23 32:2 79:13 65 33:6,9 79:14, 20 66 36:12,15 79:15 67 38:16,19 43:11 44:10 79:16 68 44:5 79:17 69 48:9,11,14 79:18 7 9:15 12:13 13:13 79:24 70 49:20,22 66:9	9 79:24 900 43:13 972-628-3600 2:8 9b 70:18,24	
45:16 75:5, 11,23 79:13 312-222-9350 2:3 33 79:14 342085	8:21 79:23 60 17:10 18:10 79:9 600 2:7	79:19 71 65:13,16 79:20 74 80:9		
81:3 353 1:14 2:2 36 79:15	60654-3456 2:3 61 22:24 23:4 40:16,18,19 79:10 80:8	75251 2:8 7th 78:1		
38 79:16 39 67:16 4	62 26:17,21 79:11 63 27:12,15 79:12	8 79:23 800 43:12 84-3192 1:14 2:24 78:8		

