

EXHIBIT L

JENNER & BLOCK

June 17, 2011

VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL

David R. Bennett
George S. Bosy
Bosy and Bennett
300 North LaSalle St.
49th Floor
Chicago, IL 60654-3406

Jenner & Block LLP
355 N. Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60654-3456
Tel 312-222-9350
www.jenner.com

Chicago
Los Angeles
New York
Washington, DC

Russell J. Hoover
Tel 312 923-2779
Fax 312 840-7779
rjhoover@jenner.com

Re: *Jenner & Block LLP's Fee Claim*
Amount: \$10.245 Million
Client: Parallel Networks LLC

Dear David and George:


This letter is addressed to you as a result of my conversation with David last week. It is written in my role as counsel to Jenner & Block LLP ("Jenner") or ("we"). You may remember that one of my jobs as firm counsel was to consult with the Finance Committee concerning, and then assist that Committee in the collection of, delinquent receivables. When there are legitimate disputes over our fee entitlement, I am charged with resolving those disputes, and have authority to compromise our claim if I deem it appropriate.

As you know, Jenner served as counsel to Parallel Networks, and its predecessor epicRealm Licensing between June 2007 and early 2009 in connection with the Oracle, QuinStreet and re-examination of certain U.S. patents ("Parallel Networks matters"). The engagement was pursuant to a written Contingent Fee Agreement, entered into with epicRealm in June 2007, which was then assigned to Parallel Networks on September 21, 2007 ("the Agreement"). Pursuant to Paragraphs 9(b) and 9(a)(i) of the Agreement, Jenner's fee entitlement for that representation totals \$10,245,492. Jenner terminated the Agreement effective February 9, 2009, and since then has received no payment against the fee obligation at all.

I told David that unless there was an objection, I intended to contact Mr. Fokas directly regarding the delinquent fees to which we are entitled under the terms of the Agreement. David asked for an opportunity to check into the matter. He called me back shortly after I called him requesting that I not contact the client directly but rather communicate through your firm. Hence this letter. I request that you bring it to your client's attention.

The Agreement is a Contingent Fee Agreement, with the contingency applicable up to the date of the Agreement's termination. Jenner was given the option to terminate the Agreement on 30 days prior written notice if we determined at any time that it was not in Jenner's "economic interest to continue the representation pursuant to the Agreement". Upon such termination, Jenner was to receive compensation "for all time expended by Jenner & Block [up to the

RESPONDENTS'
EXHIBIT
112



IRPM 00000202

EXHIBIT L

David R. Bennett
George S. Bosy
June 17, 2011
Page 2

termination date] on any Enforcement Activity undertaken on behalf of epicRealm Licensing [Parallel Networks] at the regular hourly billing rate charged by Jenner & Block for its attorneys and legal assistants" with that to be "in lieu" of the Contingent Fee applicable to such services, less the reasonable costs incurred by Parallel Networks "to transition any pending or ongoing enforcement activities that had been commenced with Jenner & Block to successor legal counsel."¹

Jenner had sent monthly statements to Parallel Networks, detailing more than 23,000 hours of time devoted by Jenner attorneys and legal assistants to the representation and quantifying those services by the regular hourly rates of the persons performing such services.

This is a very large receivable, which is now more than two years past due. Parallel Networks has made no payments whatsoever against this liability and we have received no explanation of why. As best I have been able to determine Parallel Networks has never even communicated with us regarding this fee obligation. I do not know whether Parallel Networks contests any of these charges. Nor do I know what bases there would be for any such a dispute. If in fact Parallel Networks disputes these charges, the Agreement requires that such a dispute be "finally adjudicated by arbitration in Dallas, Texas under the auspices of JAMS," which is to follow the parties making a "good faith effort to resolve any dispute relating in any manner to the Agreement or to any services provided pursuant to this Agreement in accordance with the general spirit of this Agreement". So, if there is a legitimate dispute related to our fee entitlement, now is the time to try to resolve that dispute if we can. I stand ready to participate in good faith in such an effort. I simply ask that Parallel Networks outline for us just what it disputes and why. Our position is quite simple: The contract specifically spells out that to which we are entitled on termination of the Agreement.

If I do not hear from you prior to June 30, 2011, I will assume that your client refuses to pay the amount owed and is unwilling to engage in a voluntary effort to explain the reasons for its refusal or to resolve the dispute short of arbitration. In that event, we will file the arbitration contemplated by the Agreement and resolve the issues in that manner.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,


Russell J. Hoover

¹ We do not seek to recover for the time devoted by our lawyers and legal assistants between February 9 and April 9, 2009 to transition the matter to new counsel.

David R. Bennett
George S. Bosy
June 17, 2011
Page 3

cc: Susan C. Levy
Catherine L. Steege

David R. Bennett
George S. Bosy
June 17, 2011
Page 4

bcc: Harry J. Roper
Mary Ann O'Donnell
Paul D. Margolis
Terri L. Mascherin

JBPN 00098366